

N ~~N~~ 11660



ENGINEER OPERATIONS IN THE RHINE CROSSING

Instructors Reading this Document


Name *Mr. Lee* Date *23 Oct*
Owe *29 Oct*
J. M. Sibley *1 Jan 51*



NINTH US ARMY

28 OCT 1945

McP. Key



UNCLASSIFIED

NINTH ARMY ENGINEER OPERATIONS
IN
Rhine River Crossing



PREPARED BY NINTH U.S. ARMY — JUNE 30, 1945



UNCLASSIFIED

FOREWORD

The Rhine River has been long recognized as one of the most formidable obstacles to military movement on the European Continent, and its crossing was the object of the most intensive planning and preparatory effort made by Ninth Army while operating in the European Theater. The brilliant fulfillment of the Engineer mission in the assault crossing of that river will ever be a tribute to the fighting spirit, skill and determination of the officers and men who achieved it.

In addition to the outstanding accomplishments of those directly engaged in the crossing, the unfailing enthusiasm and technical proficiency of supporting Engineer elements played a major part in the success of the operation. It is regretted that the complete story of the actions of some of these supporting units had to be, of necessity, subordinated to those of the assault units in this report of the crossing.

Effort has been made to make this report of value to the student of military operations, as well as of interest to the casual reader. It is hoped that proper credit has been given to all units directly or indirectly involved in the operation, as well as to the staffs of all echelons for the weeks and months of planning without which the successful attainment of the mission would not have been realized.

/s/t/ RICHARD U. NICHOLAS

Brigadier General, U. S. Army
Engineer, Ninth Army

UNCLASSIFIED


CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
RESTRICTED	
I MISSION	5
II INTELLIGENCE	6
III PLANNING AND PREPARATION	7
IV TRAINING	II
V SUPPLY	12
VI OPERATION	14
VII CAMOUFLAGE	51
VIII CONCLUSIONS	57

INCLOSURES

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1 — NINTH ARMY ZONE of ACTION | 7 — PILE BRIDGE RHINE RIVER II46 ENGR C Gp |
| 2 — LETTER of INSTRUCTIONS NUMBER FOURTEEN | 8 — ENGINEER OPERATIONS ORDER NUMBER 207 |
| 3 — CORPS OPERATION SITES | 9 — ROUTES OF COMMUNICATION, SUPPLY INSTALLATIONS, ENGINEER
WORK AREAS |
| 4 — PREPARATION FOR RHINE RIVER CROSSING | 10 — ALLOCATION OF RIVER CROSSING EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES |
| 5 — SHEET 1 COMPARISON OF PREDICTIONS AND OBSERVATIONS
COLOGNE GAUGE.
SHEET 2 COMPARISON OF PREDICTIONS AND OBSERVATIONS
HOMBERG GAUGE
SHEET 3 OBSERVATIONS OF RHINE RIVER LEVEL | 11 — ROUTES OF COMMUNICATION, SUPPLY INSTALLATIONS, BRIDGING
SITES |
| 6 — TENTATIVE DESIGN PILE TRESTLE BRIDGE FOR TWO WAY CL 40
OR ONE WAY CL 70 | 12 — BAILEY BRIDGE No. 1 |
| | 13 — BAILEY BRIDGE No. 2 |
| | 14 — BAILEY BRIDGE No. 3 |
| | 15 — VEHICULAR TRAFFIC COUNT ON RHINE BRIDGES |

UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED

SECTION I

MISSION

I. ARMY.

Initial planning was begun in October 1944 on the assumption that the mission of Ninth Army would be to cross the Rhine River north of the Ruhr industrial area, with tentative crossing limits informally established by Twelfth Army Group as Orsoy on the south, and Rees on the north, both inclusive (See Inclosure 1). The target date for the crossing was set at 15 December. These tentative instructions formed the basis for all planning for the crossing until early December. Successively later dates were set as the crossing of the Roer River and advance to the Rhine were postponed.

The above crossing limits would have provided sufficient front for a crossing by two corps abreast. In February, after Ninth Army had been placed under operational control of British Twenty-First Army Group, the northern limit of the Ninth Army zone was established at Wesel, exclusive. This narrowing of the Army zone necessitated revision of planning to a crossing by one corps.

In addition to effecting a crossing within the Army zone, Ninth Army was given the mission of constructing three tactical bridges, a heavy ferry and a semipermanent fixed bridge across the Rhine River at Wesel, and of clearing highway routes through Wesel in conjunction with British Second Army.

2. CORPS.

Due to the fluid situation which developed as a result of the rapid advance from the Roer River to the Rhine, early decision could not be made as to which corps would make the Rhine crossing. To avoid loss of time in planning, and to avoid duplication of effort by having each corps prepare detailed plans for a crossing, the XVI Corps was directed to prepare a plan which could be used by whichever corps was given the crossing mission.

A planning directive to XVI Corps, containing an Engineer Annex,

was issued 19 February 1945. A copy of the directive is included as Inclosure 2.

Although the Commanding General, XVI Corps, had been informally advised earlier that XVI Corps would probably make the assault, it was not until 4 March 1945 that the XVI Corps was officially assigned that mission with target date of 31 March. The crossing date was later revised to 24 March, materially shortening the time allowed for physical preparation.

The mission of the XVI Corps was to assault across the Rhine River in the zone shown on Inclosure 3; secure an initial bridgehead; expand the bridgehead rapidly by seizure of successive objectives; secure and hold the general line: confluence of Ruhr and Rhine Rivers - Rhein-Herne Canal to Osterfeld - Bottrop - Gladbeck - Marl - North to Lippe River (See Inclosure 1); maintain contact with British Second Army; and seize intact, wherever possible, bridges over the Lippe River and Lippe-Seiten Canal.

3. ARMY ENGINEER COMBAT GROUPS.

A meeting of Corps Engineers and Army Engineer Combat Group Commanders was called at Army Engineer Headquarters on 24 November 1944, at which general planning was initiated. Tentative assignment of tasks could not be made at that time due to lack of a definite Army mission, but all were brought abreast of the status of planning by the Army Engineer, probable availability of engineer equipment, and of the proposed delineation of tasks between Corps and Army engineer units.

On 25 February 1945, when the Army engineer mission, final allocation of engineer troops, and information on the status of stream crossing equipage were sufficiently well established, assignment of definite tasks to Army engineer combat groups was made in an Army engineer planning directive, a copy of which is appended as Inclosure 4. The only major deviations from the plan outlined in this directive were the attachment of the 1103d Engineer Combat Group to XVI Corps on 8 March 1945, and the reassignment of the task of construction of the fixed bridge at Wesel from the 1147th to the 1146th Engineer Combat Group.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECTION II

INTELLIGENCE

4. RIVER CHARACTERISTICS.

Systematic study of the Rhine by Ninth Army began 23 October 1944, when an officer and two men from the Engineer Section of the Ninth Army Photo Interpretation Detachment began a detailed bank study of the river from Cologne to Emmerich. This study, completed on 4 November, was the foundation of early planning. The collection of intelligence pertaining to the Rhine was continuous thereafter.

The first Ninth Army intelligence report on the Rhine, Preliminary Rhine River Intelligence, distributed at the 24 November meeting of Corps Engineers and Army Engineer Combat Group Commanders, furnished sufficient preliminary data on characteristics of the river to enable units to begin planning for tasks which might be assigned them. Sets of low oblique and vertical aerial photographs of the river were also furnished to units at that time.

The collection of data on the Rhine was continued, culminating in the distribution on 5 February 1945 of a comprehensive report, "Army Engineer Technical Notes No. 3, Rhine River." Much of the information contained therein had previously been furnished subordinate units informally, as it had become available. This study contained the following data:

- a. Channel location from Cologne to Emmerich.
- b. Areas subject to flood.
- c. River profile.
- d. River cross sections.
- e. Stage hydrographs, 1920-1940.
- f. Stage frequency curves based on records, 1812-1939.
- g. Monthly maximum and minimum gauge readings and fluctuations for 3, 5, and 10-year periods based on records, 1812-1939.
- h. Stage-discharge curves and velocity-frequency graphs based on records, 1909-1935.

- i. Curves showing time sequence of equivalent stages based on records, 1812-1939.
- j. Photo maps of area of interest, scale 1:12,500.

5. SHORE CHARACTERISTICS.

The Ninth Army Photo Interpretation Detachment made a detailed study of approach and exit roads, restrictions to cross-country movement, dike locations, and the extent of flooding as shown by aerial photographs taken on three selected days when the Rhine was in various stages of flood. These data were included in Army Engineer Technical Notes Nos. 9 and 11, published on 1 and 6 March, respectively. "Going Maps", scale 1:25,000, previously had been published on 11 February to show graphically the restrictions to cross-country movement.

6. FLOOD WARNING.

It was recognized by Headquarters European Theater of Operations that a flood warning system would be required. An organization, and procedure for collecting and disseminating information were discussed at meetings held at Theater Headquarters on 18 and 19 December 1944, and at Headquarters Twelfth Army Group on 5 January 1945. A flood prediction service was established by Theater Headquarters and, in order to test the flood warning communication net, three sets of dummy stage readings were sent to it daily commencing 8 January. Between 1 and 7 March gauges were established at Neuss by the XIX Corps, and at Homberg by the XIII Corps. Farther upstream the First, Third, and Seventh Armies also had established gauges.

The prediction of stages in Ninth Army zone and readings of selected gauges were telephoned immediately upon receipt to all Corps Engineers and to those Army engineer combat groups which had bridging assignments for the Rhine River crossing. A chart indicating actual readings at the main gauges, together with predictions, and graphs showing levels during the operation are attached as Inclosure 5. Army Engineer Technical Notes No. 13, showing stage relationships between the Orsoy and Wesel gauges and the Army bridge sites, were issued on 18 March after the bridge sites

had been selected, to enable units to transpose predictions for the main gauges to the sites.

7. SUPPLEMENTARY MEASURES.

Intelligence on enemy defensive capabilities, such as floating mines and Gamma swimmers, and the results of river crossing experiments conducted by Ninth Army units and by other Armies were constantly disseminated by means of Engineer Intelligence Summaries and special studies. The S-2 sections of XVI Corps Engineer units were detached from their organizations as soon as the west bank of the Rhine had been cleared of the enemy and were placed directly under the Corps Engineer. This group of Engineer S-2s reconnoitered the entire area, ascertained the portions of the flood plain which could be negotiated by vehicles and tanks, and located ferry and bridge sites. This arrangement delayed receipt of information at subordinate headquarters but facilitated collection of information, served to avoid duplication of effort, and minimized disclosure of our intentions to the enemy.

8. BANKSEAT ELEVATIONS.

Elevations for the floating Bailey bridge base plates were based on the policy established by the Army Engineer that deck elevations at bankseats should be at the 10-year high water level for the months of March, April, and May. Elevations of the 10-year high water levels at Orsoy and Wesel were established by taking the levels at Cologne, Dusseldorf, and Emmerich for these months, using stage frequency curves from Army Engineer Technical Notes No. 3, and transposing these elevations to Orsoy and Wesel by means of a stage relationship graph. The elevations thus obtained were averaged and the corresponding elevation at each bridge site computed by direct interpolation. Prior to the assault, the 655th Engineer Topographic Battalion set bench marks near each floating Bailey bridge site, using night survey methods.

SECTION III

PLANNING AND PREPARATION

9. PRELIMINARIES.

In October 1944, preparatory to a meeting with other Army Engineers at Headquarters Twelfth Army Group, called for the purpose of discussing and making tentative allocations of available equipment, the following tactical assumptions were made by the Army Engineer for planning purposes with respect to the proposed crossing of the Rhine by Ninth Army:

- a. Crossings would be made by two corps, each with two battalions in assault.
- b. The following bridges would be constructed:
 - (1) Two M2 treadway bridges.
 - (2) Two 25-ton ponton bridges, reinforced with pontons.
 - (3) Two Class 40 floating Bailey bridges, each with double landing bays to accommodate maximum variations in water level of 25 feet.
 - (4) One semipermanent two-way Class 40, one-way Class 70 pile bridge.
- c. Seven antimine and antidebris booms would be installed.

At the time the above tentative assumptions were made, it was realized that a crossing on a larger scale would be desirable if availability of equipment permitted. The setting back of the target date permitted procurement of additional equipment from the United States. The crossing was actually effected by one corps with five battalions in assault. Four treadway, two 25-ton ponton, and three floating Bailey bridges were constructed. The semipermanent bridge was constructed as planned. Delay in the operation reduced the threat of floods and permitted substitution of single landing bays for double landing bays on Bailey bridges. The simple cable booms originally contemplated were supplemented by Naval types of netting after their value had been proven by British defenses during the winter against German floating mines and Gamma swimmers on the Waal River at Nijmegen.

Several meetings were held throughout the winter with U. S. Twelfth and British Twenty-First Army Groups and other Armies to exchange views on the suitability of various types of equipage as indicated by tests and experiments constantly being conducted.

10. STAFF COOPERATION.

Supply and planning were continually coordinated with other arms and services throughout the preparatory period. Requirements for signal lamps for beach control, and for radio and telephone nets for crossing operations were coordinated with the Army Signal Officer. The Army Ordnance Officer furnished tank transporters, and technical specialists and materials for waterproofing of engineer tractors utilized in construction of landing stages and approaches. The Army Quartermaster provided 200 cargo trucks for transporting engineer materials. Arrangements were made with the Chemical, Antiaircraft, and Artillery Officers, respectively, for smoke screens, antiaircraft defense, and upstream protection against derelict barges and other floating objects. Through Army G-1, all units in the Army were canvassed for motor boat operators, and 284 non-engineer operators were obtained to augment engineer personnel in the operation of storm and assault boats. A comprehensive camouflage and counter-intelligence plan was worked out by the G-2 and Engineer Sections of Army and Corps. Coordination of traffic plans between Corps and Army for the movement of engineer material was accomplished by Army G-4. Technical engineer considerations as they might affect the tactical plan were constantly furnished to Army G-3 for consideration in the formulation of tactical plans and training policies.

11. SPECIAL EQUIPMENT.

To determine the effectiveness of numerous items of special equipment, a board was established by Army G-3 on 19 October 1944. The 1141st Engineer Combat Group was designated to conduct tests for the board on the Maas River. Equipment tested included DUKWs, LVT2s, LVT4s (Alligators), M29s and M29Cs (Weasels). These tests demonstrated that all of the items could be used advantageously in the crossing operation,

and that DUKWs and LVTs could be used effectively without cableways in the currents anticipated. Estimated cable requirements were reduced and training of engineer units in the installation of cableways was abandoned.

12. NAVAL PARTICIPATION.

On 15 November, Naval Detachment 122.5.3, with 24 LCVPs, reported to Ninth Army at Maastricht, Holland. The detachment was augmented on 8 January by additional personnel and by 24 LCM (3)s which had been moved to Maastricht from Antwerp via the Albert Canal. Tests of the craft in river operation, and experiments in various methods of loading for transport, overland movement and launching of the craft were conducted by the 1143d Engineer Combat Group. It was found that LCVPs could be most effectively moved on 25-ton ponton trailers, although movement overland would require selection of routes with minimum overhead clearance of 13 feet 11 inches. Unloading of the craft by backing the trailer into the water, by means of cranes, or by skidding, was found to be feasible. The only practicable means of overland movement of LCMs found was by M25 tank transporters. Required overhead clearance was reduced from 17 feet 6 inches to 15 feet 11 inches by cutting off some of the protective armor of the operator's tower. Upon completion of overland movement the armor was replaced by welding. The only feasible means developed for unloading these craft, due to their weight, bulk and deep draft (48 inches), was the use of two 2-cubic yard cranes, which could lift the craft free of the transporter and lower it into the water. The cooling systems on the engines of all Naval craft were modified with a view to a possible crossing of the Rhine in freezing weather, since their design contemplated only salt water operation.

13. RAFT PROPULSION.

Extensive experiments were conducted by the 1143d Engineer Combat Group to find a suitable means of propulsion for ponton rafts. 22-horsepower outboard motors, power utility boats and standard Bailey propulsion units were all found to lack sufficient power for effective control of loaded free rafts in currents equivalent to those expected to be encoun-

tered on the Rhine. LCVPs were found to be a satisfactory means of propulsion, but their use in this role would divert them from the ferrying of personnel and light equipment. Through Headquarters Twelfth Army Group, Theater Headquarters, and Naval Detachments, and by contacts with British Naval and Army Units, an effort was made to locate a light craft with shallow draft having sufficient power to propel the ferries. Since no craft meeting these specifications were available, Seamules were adopted for the purpose despite their extreme size, weight and draft. A detachment of the 329th Harbor Craft Company with 40 Seamules was attached to Ninth Army in December, and experiments with the craft were immediately initiated. Hauling of the Seamule by sections on 16-ton or 20-ton flatbed trailers was found to be the easiest method of transport. However, since assembly by trained personnel required a minimum of 12 to 14 hours, it was considered essential to devise a means to haul the craft completely assembled. Tests determined that the Seamules could be hauled on M19 or M25 tank transporters, and unloaded either by backing the trailer into the water until the craft floated or by lifting with two 2-cubic yard cranes. Because the new engines of Seamules often "froze" when first placed in operation, and the drilling of new bolt holes was often necessary to permit assembly of sections, a program of breaking in motors and assembling was initiated. This program was handicapped by a shortage of personnel and suitable cranes, and less than half of the craft had been assembled and tested prior to the operation.

14. NL PONTOONS.

It was proposed to use NL pontoon barges to float pile driving rigs for the fixed bridge construction, and for a ferry required to cross loads in excess of Class 40 pending completion of the fixed bridge. On 27 November, the Naval Detachment was augmented by Seabee personnel to instruct and assist Army units in the assembly of NL pontoons. The Naval detachment, and personnel from the 1056th Engineer Port Construction and Repair Group, an Advance Section Communications Zone (ADSEC) unit, were attached to the 1143d Engineer Combat Group and later to the 1146th Engineer Combat Group to assemble NL pontoon barges and steam

pile driving rigs for construction of bridges over the Maas River at Mae-seyck and Venlo. Methods were perfected on these projects for the Rhine fixed bridge construction.

15. MODIFICATION OF TREADWAY BRIDGING.

Due to a shortage of M2 treadway bridging and the desire to reserve a portion of that available to re-equip treadway bridge companies for operations east of the Rhine, it was necessary to plan on the use of M1 treadway bridge equipage for one of the Rhine bridges.

After experiments by several treadway bridge companies and tests by the 969th Engineer Maintenance Company of various proposed method of modifying the M1 treadway bridge equipage so that it would accommodate the M4 medium tank with track extenders, a modification was adopted which increased the space between the treadways by 8 3/4 inches, and provided a timber treadway on the spacer bars for passage of 1/4-ton vehicles. Two M1 units totaling 2160 feet were so modified prior to the operation.

16. PONTON BRIDGES.

Originally it had been planned to reinforce the 25-ton ponton bridges with pontoons to permit passage of Class 40 loads. Due to a shortage of pontoons, and as a result of information regarding difficulties experienced by First Army in maintaining a ponton-reinforced bridge at the Remagen bridgehead because of the damming effect of the pontoons, it was decided just prior to the operation to reinforce the bridges with floats, thus providing for Class 36 traffic. During the winter, experiments were conducted on the Maas River with various types of ponton bow adaptors for 25-ton pontoons. The tests indicated that the bows were of some value in swift currents and sufficient bow adaptors for the two proposed Rhine bridges were manufactured. Plans were made in conjunction with the Military Pipe Line Service to place a 4-inch gasoline line on one of the 25-ton ponton bridges. Special brackets were designed and fabricated which would carry the line over the water downstream of the downstream end of the pontoons in order to reduce fire hazard.

17. BAILEY BRIDGES.

Little experimenting with floating Bailey bridging was necessary, since British manuals provided sufficient data for design. Launching of the 150-foot triple double landing bays, which were necessary to provide the desired accommodation of a 17-foot variation in water level, presented the only serious problem. The difficulties were greater at those sites where fixed Bailey spans supported on piers were required to connect the bridge with the summer dike. The units which were assigned the task of bridge construction conducted experiments and training in the launching of these landing bays prior to the operation. The most practicable method devised involved launching the landing bay over a low land pier onto the landing bay pier, followed by jacking up the inshore end and building up the shore pier under it to the required elevation. False bows for Bailey pontoons and wooden hatch covers for the Mk VI pontoons were constructed under commercial contract.

18. LIFE BELTS.

In order to reduce possible casualties from drowning, more than 25,000 inflation type lifebelts were secured. Emphasis was placed on their use by troops participating in training operations preparatory to the crossing, and a lifebelt was provided for each man who crossed the river in the assault.

19. ASSAULT CRAFT.

Due to the limited availability of storm boats it was necessary to plan on the use of double M2 assault boats powered by 22-horsepower motors for a portion of the assault craft. Prior to the operation, most of the M2 assault boats which were to be used as bow sections of the double assault boats were equipped with modified bows consisting of simple six-inch splash boards.

20. ANCHORS.

At the time a winter crossing was under consideration and initial intelligence indicated that currents as great as 15 feet per second could be expected;

extensive experiments were made using various types of standard and improvised anchors. British Naval "CQR" anchors were found to be the most effective commercial anchors in the type of sand gravel bottom characterizing the Rhine. Rubble-filled boxes made of Bailey panels were found to be the most effective improvised type. Both types, as well as fluked Bailey panel anchors, heavy barge anchors and standard ponton equipage anchors were provided for the actual operation.

21. BOOMS.

Tests conducted with various types of booms indicated that standard British Admiralty Netting provided the most effective protection against floating mines and Gamma swimmers. However, because of the length of time required to install this type of boom and the fact that availability limited its use to two of the proposed booms, hasty types of simple log booms with concertina attached, and cable booms supported on floats, were also adopted in planning and as a basis for procurement. XVI Corps adopted a stagger type boom consisting of relatively short sections of cable supported on floats, and anchored independently. These sections were staggered across the river to provide protection in depth. Just prior to the operation, U. S. Naval Grommet type netting became available to provide antisubmarine protection, but little opportunity was available for experiments with this type of net. Throughout the experiments with booms, Army units were assisted by Naval personnel made available through Headquarters Twelfth Army Group.

22. FIXED BRIDGE.

A proposed design for a fixed pile bridge over the Rhine, on which procurement of bridge material was based, was completed in November and with little modification was followed in the actual construction. Details of the preliminary design and of the final construction are appended as Inclosures 6 and 7. Features of the design were dictated primarily by a desire to conserve tonnage of materials to the greatest extent practicable, and to provide a type of construction within the capabilities of Army Engineer equipment and personnel. Prior to the operation arrangements

were made with ADSEC to augment the 1146th Engineer Combat Group with the Dock Sections of the 1053d and 1058th Engineer Port Construction and Repair Groups, thus obtaining the use of their heavy equipment and of their personnel experienced in heavy construction. Because reports indicated that coarse gravel in the bottom might render the driving of timber piling to satisfactory penetration impracticable, 10-inch H-beams were procured in sufficient quantity to permit substitution for up to 30 % of the timber piles.

In accordance with instructions from Supreme Headquarters, Allied Expeditionary Forces (SHAEF), the design provided for a 75-foot navigation span with vertical clearance 2.7 feet above the highest water of record.

23. ROUTE CLEARING.

A plan for the clearing of highway routes through the communication center of Wesel was worked out with the Engineer, British Second Army. Routes to be opened were selected by study of aerial photographs. Ninth Army engineers were to start from the river and work on the agreed routes toward British Second Army units, who were to work into town from the east. Arrangements were made for early contact on the ground to decide which of the previously selected routes was the most practicable for immediate opening after the intensive aerial bombardment of the city which was planned for the night of D-I.

24. FINAL INSTRUCTIONS.

Based on the planning directives issued to XVI Corps and Army Engineer Groups (Inclosures 2 and 4), engineer plans for each phase of the operation were prepared by these units and presented to Army for approval. Necessary modifications and adjustments to bring the plans within the scope of available equipment were effected, and Army Engineer Operations Order Number 207, covering Army phases of the operation was issued on 19 March. Amendments to this order were issued in Operations Orders Nos. 209, 211, and 217 (Inclosure 8). Adjustments in the Corps Engineer's plan were handled informally through discussions and as requisitions for materials and equipment were presented.

25. PREPARATION.

On 11 March 1945, shortly after XVI Corps was assigned the crossing mission, it initiated an intensive program of road construction and improvement in the vicinity of the crossing sites. Under enemy observation and artillery fire, approach roads up to the winter dikes were widened to permit two-way traffic and were surfaced. It became necessary on 19 March, due to intensification of enemy artillery fire, to confine the work to hours of darkness, using "artificial moonlight". While this activity disclosed contemplated crossing sites to the enemy, it greatly expedited the movement of equipment and the opening of bridges to traffic. The disclosure of crossing sites to the enemy was partially offset by greater activity in road improvement by XIII Corps to the south of the actual crossing area under the counter-intelligence plan.

All possible work which might expedite the crossing was accomplished prior to D-day. Pneumatic floats for the Corps treadway bridges were preinflated and loaded on dump trucks. Approximately 100 dump trucks were loaded with rock for road construction and parked along roads leading to the crossing sites. Seamules, LCMs, and LCVs were loaded and moved as close to the crossing sites as practicable. LVTs and DUKWs were also moved forward. A carefully controlled traffic plan was placed in effect, including the reservation of a route for exclusive engineer use from the dump containing Corps bridge materials at Lintfort, toward the River. Dual signal communication lines were installed connecting the crossing sites laterally and with bridge parks to the rear. Self-propelled tank destroyer weapons were in the vicinity for immediate emplacement in the winter dikes to protect crossing sites against objects which might be released by the enemy from upstream. Barrage balloons and smoke generating units were available for early protection of the bridge sites.

SECTION IV

TRAINING

26. ARMY STREAM CROSSING SCHOOL.

A school was established in November on the Maas River under supervision of Headquarters 1143d Engineer Combat Group for training engi-

neer units in various phases of the operation. Except for minor interruptions caused by enemy action, floods, and lack of engineer troops who could be spared from operations, the school was operated continuously until March. Courses were conducted in the following:

- a. Construction of Bailey bridge, fixed and floating.
- b. Seamule operation.
- c. Construction and operation of rafts and ferries.
- d. LCVP and LCM operation, loading, and unloading.
- e. Outboard motor operation.
- f. Construction of treadway bridge.
- g. Boom installation.

27. SPECIAL TRAINING.

Prior to receipt of steam pile driving rigs for use on the Rhine, arrangements were made for ADSEC units to train operators from Army units in pile driving operations. Selected men were attached to the 332nd Engineer General Service Regiment and to the 1056th Engineer Port Construction and Repair Group, both of which had heavy bridge construction projects underway.

28. CORPS TRAINING.

As soon as the Roer River had been crossed and progress of the attack toward the Rhine permitted, the 30th and 79th Infantry Divisions were withdrawn from the line and undertook intensive training programs on the Maas River in the vicinity of Roermond and Maeseyck. The 1153d and the 1148th Engineer Combat Groups, which were to support the 30th and 79th Infantry Divisions, respectively, in the assault, trained with the divisions. During this period individuals and small units were familiarized with the capabilities and limitations of storm boats, double M2 assault boats, LCMs, LCVPs, LVTs, Seamules, and rafts. Engineer units, when not engaged in assault training with the infantry, received instruction and practice in constructing roads using pierced steel plank and other expedients and in treadway and heavy ponton bridge construction. Training culminated in fullscale dress rehearsal crossings, in both

daylight and darkness. At the completion of the training period, all non-engineer outboard motor operators had been trained, as had guides and beachmasters, and all crossing elements had been crossed in craft operated by the engineer personnel who were actually to cross them over the Rhine.

SECTION V

SUPPLY

29. SCOPE.

The supply of engineer equipment and material for the Rhine River crossing was the greatest engineer supply undertaking accomplished by Ninth Army while in the European Theater of Operations. The operation required approximately 17,000 long tons of material and equipment. Requisitioning, movement from Communications Zone depots, and receipt, storage, and movement to bridge parks presented unusual supply and transportation problems.

30. PROCUREMENT.

On 8 November 1944, requisitions were submitted to the Engineer, Communications Zone, through the Engineer, ADSEC, for the estimated equipment and material necessary for the crossing. Requirements as set forth in these requisitions were based on such information regarding characteristics of the Rhine River as was available at that time, and on tentative allocations of critical items of equipage made by the Engineer, Twelfth Army Group. On 2 February 1945, another requisition was presented to the Engineer, Communications Zone, covering equipment and material previously requisitioned but not received. In addition, this requisition covered changes brought about by additional allocations made by the Engineer, Twelfth Army Group, and by the availability of new types of equipment.

From the beginning of receipt of supplies by Army, considerable difficulty was experienced in obtaining a balanced stock. This was particularly true in the case of bridging. Until 1 March 1945, many small component parts of bridges had not been received. In some cases this

necessitated the manufacture of these parts, either by civilian concerns or by engineer maintenance companies. There were also many overages, especially in Bailey bridging, which consumed needed storage space and necessitated undue handling.

31. STORAGE.

Within the open storage area of Engineer Depot No. 4 at Maastricht (See Inclosure 9) a separate stockpile, designated Project "A", was established for the assembly of all Rhine River crossing equipment and material. This project, although the responsibility of the 1961st Engineer Aviation Depot Company, which operated Depot No. 4, was entirely divorced from the depot, with separate records, and was operated by personnel drawn from Engineer Heavy Ponton and Combat Battalions. Since no hardstanding was available within the area, it was necessary to construct roads and railroad spurs and provide for drainage. Extreme difficulty was experienced throughout the period of operation of Project "A" in maintaining the depot facilities, and one engineer combat company was kept almost continuously occupied on this work. Although it was originally intended that no issues be made from this project other than for the Rhine crossing, it was found imperative to authorize large removals for the crossing of the Roer River late in February, and for special river crossing schools. It was also necessary to withdraw M2 treadway bridging from Project "A" to re-equip two treadway bridge companies which were equipped with M1 bridging when assigned to the Army. A detailed inventory of this project was submitted weekly to the Army Engineer Supply Officer. These records were in turn checked each week against requirements and necessary expediting action was taken with ADSEC and other Communications Zone agencies.

32. ALLOCATIONS.

Prior to submission of requisitions by XVI Corps and the concerned Army Engineer Combat Groups, a meeting was held in Army Engineer Headquarters for the purpose of discussing requirements and allocating critical items of equipment and material. Considerable difficulty was

experienced in the administration and processing of XVI Corps requisitions submitted to the Army Engineer because of the relatively late date upon which Corps was given its mission, making it necessary for the Corps to initiate procurement prior to formulation of its final plans. The necessity for issuing river crossing assault equipment for training purposes simultaneously with that for the actual operation caused further complications. The final allocation of major items to Corps, to Army Engineer Combat Groups, and to Army reserve is indicated in Inclosure 10.

33. BRIDGE PARKS.

Five forward bridge parks were established for Rhine River crossing equipment and material. Locations and connecting routes are indicated on Inclosure 11. One park was operated by each of the four Army engineer combat groups who were responsible for specific projects in the Rhine River crossing, and the fifth was operated by the 37th Engineer Combat Battalion under direct supervision of the Army Engineer for equipment required by XVI Corps. Movement of necessary equipment and material to the bridge parks from Project "A" was started 16 days prior to D-day, utilizing both truck and rail transportation. Two hundred 2 1/2-ton Quatermaster trucks and approximately seventy 25-ton ponton trailers were employed continuously. Because of the great tonnage involved in timber, hardware, and special equipment for the Wesel fixed bridge, and the protracted period over which this construction was to extend, most of the equipment and material for this project was moved by rail. Special trains with highest possible priorities were utilized. Approximately 65 cranes, varying from 3/8 to 2-cubic yard capacity, were employed in order to complete loading and unloading by the target date. This figure includes a special allocation of 40 cranes procured from ADSEC for the operation. Many of the cranes were subsequently used in bridge construction. Project "A" was outloaded by the 1254th Engineer Combat Battalion. Difficulties encountered during the forward movement included the loss of materials caused by breakdown of trucks or negligence of drivers, the arrival of materials at the wrong bridge parks, and the failure to coordinate movement of cranes from one bridge park to another. In addition,

due to difficulties in making proper allocation of unloading facilities, equipment and materials were shipped from Project "A" at a greater rate than they could be handled efficiently at the bridge parks, thus tying up transportation. Extreme difficulty was experienced in moving heavy equipment such as Seamules and LCMs with transportation available to Army. However, by careful selection of routes and traffic control, assembled Seamules and LCMs were successfully moved to the river on tank transporters. Movement of equipment and material allotted to XVI Corps to the river was greatly facilitated by the reservation of the Lintfort-Rheinberg highway for exclusive engineer use under control of the Engineer, XVI Corps.

34. SHORTAGES.

Considerable effort was necessary at the last minute in order to fill shortages of vital items. Due to the inability of Communications Zone to furnish sufficient 1" and 1 1/4" cable, it was necessary to accept 3/4" cable as a substitute. Cable was also procured from various civilian sources in Belgium and the Netherlands, and large quantities of captured cable were utilized. Only six of the eight 25-ton ponton units required to provide a 50 % reserve were received in time for the river crossing; since battle losses were light, this shortage proved to be of no significance. It was necessary to utilize engineer combat battalions for the production of lumber and piling for the fixed bridge at Wesel, except that 70-foot piling was provided by ADSEC.

35. RESERVES.

Throughout the planning and procurement of Rhine River crossing requirements, reserves were in general set at 50 %. Approximately half of the reserves were moved to the forward bridge parks and the remainder held at Engineer Depot No. 14, Willich (Inclosure 9). The latter was moved from Project "A" to Depot No. 14 by rail just prior to D-day.

36. SALVAGE.

Specific area responsibilities for salvage of river crossing equipment were assigned to engineer units. The army collection point was the Lint-

fort Bridge Park (Inclosure 11), where crews from the 969th Engineer Maintenance Company and the 37th Engineer Combat Battalion received, sorted, reconditioned and disposed of the salvaged equipment. Recovery of equipment, although given high work priority, was solved by diversion of engineer troops to road and bridge work to support the rapid expansion of the bridgehead.

37. LOSSES.

The following is a list of equipment lost during the Rhine River operation :

LCMs	1
LCVPs	2
Assault Boats	46
22-HP Motors	31
Storm Boats	28
55-HP Motors	18
18-ton M2 Floats, Treadway	11
15-ton M1 Floats, Treadway	6
Ponton Rafts	10
Power Utility Boats, 18 ft.	9
Lifbelts	3216
Log Booms, 1200 ft.	3

These losses approximate 10 % of the total equipment used. Very little bridging was lost due to enemy shelling, most losses being caused by the breaking loose of ferry equipment upstream from the bridges.

SECTION VI

OPERATIONS

CORPS

38. GENERAL.

After essential reconnaissance had been completed and the many details coordinated at conferences, orders covering the crossing were issued by XVI Corps on 18 March. The 79th Infantry Division was to attack on the

right and the 30th Infantry Division on the left with boundaries as indicated on Inclosure 3. D-day was set at 24 March and H-hour at 0200. There was to be an artillery preparation from 0100 to 0200 in the 30th Division zone, and from 0200 to 0300 in the 79th Division zone.

The 79th Infantry Division, supported by the 1148th Engineer Combat Group, was scheduled to jump off at 0300, with two battalions assaulting abreast in three waves, with fifteen minute intervals between waves. The first wave, consisting of twenty-eight double M2 assault boats and two storm boats per assaulting battalion, and the second wave of twenty-one storm boats and eleven double M2 assault boats per battalion, would return from the far shore to form the third wave. Maximum use was to be made of ferries (infantry support, treadway and Bailey rafts) in crossing succeeding infantry elements. LCMs, LCVPs, and DUKWs were to move equipment and supplies to the far shore until the bridges were completed, with tanks and TDs being ferried in the LCMs.

The 30th Infantry Division, supported by the 1153d Engineer Combat Group, was scheduled to jump off at 0200, with one battalion from each regiment making the assault and the remaining battalions of each regiment crossing in column. The assault battalions were to cross in four waves, with two minute intervals between waves. The two leading rifle companies would cross in storm boats in the first two waves, and the remainder of the battalion would cross in double M2 assault boats in the last two waves. The second battalion of each regiment would be crossed in LVTs, and in storm and assault boats which had returned. The third battalions would cross in all available storm and assault boats, and in fifteen LVTs and three LCVPs each. Supporting weapons and supplies would be carried by LVTs, LCVPs, LCMs, DUKWs, and ferries. DD tanks would cross under their own power.

Assault zones, crossing areas, and Corps bridge, ferry and boom sites are shown on Inclosure 3.

The divisional engineer battalions were to be crossed with their divisions for engineer work beyond the river. Their only responsibilities in connection with the crossing were the preparation of far shore landing sites and exits for LCVPs, LCMs, LVTs, DUKWs, and DD tanks, until relieved by the Corps engineers.

39. ORGANIZATION.

The XVI Corps Engineer order of battle for the assault crossing was as follows:

a. 79th INFANTRY DIVISION ZONE.

Division Engineers: 304th Engineer Combat Battalion

Direct Support: 1148th Engineer Combat Group, composed of:

Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 1148th Engineer Combat Group

149th Engineer Combat Battalion

187th Engineer Combat Battalion

1276th Engineer Combat Battalion

70th Engineer Light Ponton Company

999th Engineer Treadway Bridge Company

Company D, 747th Tank Battalion

556th AAA AW Battalion (Mbl) (Attached for operations only)

582d Engineer Dump Truck Company

Detachment, Naval Unit 122.5.3 (Crews for 9 LCMs and 9 LCVPs)

Detachment, 329th Harbor Craft Company (Crews for 6 Seamules)

b. 30th INFANTRY DIVISION ZONE.

Division Engineers: 105th Engineer Combat Battalion.

Direct Support: 1153d Engineer Combat Group, composed of:

Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 1153d Engineer Combat Group

258th Engineer Combat Battalion

202d Engineer Combat Battalion

280th Engineer Combat Battalion

234th Engineer Combat Battalion

171st Engineer Combat Battalion

180th Engineer Heavy Ponton Battalion

554th Engineer Heavy Ponton Battalion

Company E, 17th Armored Engineer Battalion (Treadway Bridge Company, 2d Armored Division)

989th Engineer Treadway Bridge Company
 73d Engineer Light Ponton Company
 1355th Engineer Dump Truck Company
 747th Tank Battalion (less Company D)
 Detachment, Naval Unit 122.5.3 (Crews for 9 LCVPs and 9 LCMs)
 Detachment, 329th Harbor Craft Company (Crews for 6 Seamules)
 553d AAA AW Battalion (Mbl) (Less Battery B) (Attached for operations only)

c. CORPS ENGINEER GENERAL SUPPORT.

1103d Engineer Combat Group, composed of:

Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 1103d Engineer Combat Group
 208th Engineer Combat Battalion
 625th Engineer Light Equipment Company
 633d Engineer Light Equipment Company
 3 Platoons, Company C, 84th Engineer Camouflage Battalion
 Headquarters U. S. Naval Unit 122.5.3 (less 18 LCM and 18 LCVP crews)
 Detachment C, 329th Harbor Craft Company (less 12 Seamule crews)

40. TASKS.

The following tasks were assigned to the XVI Corps Engineer units engaged in the crossing:

a. 1148th ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP:

- (1) The 149th Engineer Combat Battalion: to support the 315th Infantry Regiment, by operating assault and storm boats and ferries.
- (2) The 187th Engineer Combat Battalion: to support the 313th Infantry Regiment, by operating assault and storm boats and ferries.
- (3) The 1276th Engineer Combat Battalion: to construct Bailey rafts, and launch Seamules, LCMs, and LCVPs for the 149th and 187th Engineer Combat Battalions; to construct an M2

treadway bridge in the vicinity of Milchplatz; and to construct booms upstream of this bridge.

- (4) The 70th Engineer Light Ponton Company: to deliver assault equipage to the 149th and 187th Engineer Combat Battalions and to deliver Bailey raft equipage to the 1276th Engineer Combat Battalion.
- (5) The 999th Engineer Treadway Bridge Company: to deliver equipment and give technical assistance to the 1276th Engineer Combat Battalion in construction of the Milchplatz treadway bridge.
- (6) Company D, 747th Tank Battalion: to operate LVTs for the 149th and 187th Engineer Combat Battalions.
- (7) The 556th AAA AW Battalion: to protect crossing sites from enemy aerial action.
- (8) The 582d Engineer Dump Truck Company: to transport engineer supplies and equipment.
- (9) Naval Detachment (with nine LCMs and nine LCVPs): to operate their equipment under control of the 149th, 187th, and 1276th Engineer Combat Battalions.
- (10) Harbor Craft Detachment (with six Seamules): to operate their equipment under control of 149th, 187th, and 1276th Engineer Combat Battalions.

b. 1153d ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP:

- (1) The 258th Engineer Combat Battalion (reinforced by 200 non-engineer motor boat operators): to operate all storm and assault boats for the crossing of the 30th Infantry Division.
- (2) The 262d Engineer Combat Battalion (less Company C) (reinforced by Naval and Harbor Craft Detachments): to transport, unload and operate all LCVPs, LCMs, and Seamules and to construct and operate all ferries in the division zone.
- (3) The 280th Engineer Combat Battalion: to extend approach roads from the winter dikes to the Rhine, except those leading to the M1 treadway bridge at Mehrum, and to construct landing sites for all craft in the division zone except those in the vicinity of Mehrum.

- (4) The 234th Engineer Combat Battalion (with 989th Engineer Treadway Bridge Company attached): to construct the roads to, and the landing sites near the bridge site at Mehrum and to construct the M1 treadway bridge at that location.
- (5) The 180th Engineer Heavy Ponton Battalion (with Companies A and B, 554th Engineer Heavy Ponton Battalion, and Companies A and C, 171st Engineer Combat Battalion attached): to construct a float-reinforced 25-ton ponton bridge in the vicinity of Wallach.
- (6) Company E, 17th Armored Engineer Battalion, Second Armored Division, (operated as a separate company) (with Company C, 202d Engineer Combat Battalion attached): to construct the M2 treadway bridge in the vicinity of Wallach.
- (7) Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 554th Engineer Heavy Ponton Battalion (with Company B, 171st Engineer Combat Battalion attached): to construct required antidebris and antimine booms.
- (8) The 171st Engineer Combat Battalion (less Companies A, B, and C): to assist the Corps Engineer by performing liaison and messenger missions.
- (9) The 73d Engineer Light Ponton Company: to deliver assault and ferry equipage to the 258th and 202d Engineer Combat Battalions.
- (10) The 1355th Engineer Dump Truck Company: to transport bridge equipage for Company E, 17th Armored Engineer Battalion, and road materials for the 280th Engineer Combat Battalion.
- (11) The 747th Tank Battalion (less Company D): to operate LVTs.
- (12) The 553d AAA AW Battalion (less Battery B): to protect crossing sites from enemy aerial action.

c. 1103d ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP.

The group mission was to construct and maintain all roads eastward to the winter dikes until D-day after which time they would stand by

and be prepared to support either or both the 1148th and 1153d Engineer Combat Groups.

41. COMMUNICATIONS.

Due to the distances involved and the need for close coordination, communications were a major problem. More than 200 miles of wire were required to provide necessary service to engineer units alone. The Corps Signal Service ran a direct line from Corps Headquarters to each engineer combat group command post, a line to each point at which pre-loaded trucks of bridging material were to be parked, and a direct line from each engineer group command post to the engineer park at Lintfort. To supplement this net, engineers laid wire to bridge sites and subordinate command posts and to final assembly areas. All wire laid by the 1153d Engineer Combat Group was buried, and as a result the group headquarters was never out of communication with its forward elements.

The XVI Corps Engineer established an engineer command radio net, utilizing the equipment and personnel of a Reconnaissance Platoon of the Cavalry Reconnaissance Squadron, 8th Armored Division. A radio station was located at each bridge site, at each engineer group command post, and at Corps Engineer Headquarters. Each engineer group established its own radio net between its command post, dumps, and final assembly areas.

42. COMMAND.

To maintain control over the widespread operations, and in order to have a responsible representative available to give any required engineer decisions on the spot, the Corps Engineer assigned a Deputy Engineer in the area of each assault division. Each Deputy Corps Engineer was assisted by three officers from the staff of the 171st Engineer Combat Battalion, of which at least one was on duty at the crossing sites at all times. These officers reported all items of interest direct to the Corps Engineer. In addition to the officers operating under the Deputy Corps Engineers, each Engineer group kept one liaison officer on duty at all times at Corps Engineer Headquarters.

43. EQUIPMENT.

Major items of equipment (Class II and Class IV) available to Corps for the operation were allocated as follows:

	1153 Engr C Gp (30th Inf Div)	1148 Engr C Gp (79th Inf Div)
Storm boats	160	80
55-HP outboard motors	200	100
M2 assault boats	260	214
22-HP outboard motors	140	100
LVTs	65	35
LCMs	9	9
LCVPs	9	9
Power utility boats	12	12
M2 treadway bridge units	2	2
M1 treadway bridge units	2	0
Seamules	6	6
Class 40 Bailey rafts	12	12
Lifelifts	7,500	5,000
25-ton ponton bridge units	10	0
DD tanks	15	0

44. ROADS.

Preparatory road work was performed by the 1103d Engineer Combat Group. The 234th and the 280th Engineer Combat Battalions, which were under operational control of the 1103d Engineer Combat Group until 20 March, and the 208th Engineer Combat Battalion were used in this work. At the time of the assault six power shovels were at three rock stockpiles centrally located to the crossing sites ready to load trucks. Two platoons of the 208th Engineer Combat Battalion stood ready to make emergency road repairs, and two platoons were sent to assist the 234th Engineer Combat Battalion in construction of approaches to the Mehrum treadway bridge.

45. ASSAULT CROSSING.

a. FINAL PREPARATIONS.

The hour 2030 on D-1 was set as a deadline for completion of all preparatory work and for the closing of all units into final assembly areas. The period between this time and H-hour was used to check units and equipment, adjust final details, and move to the river. By 0001, D-day, all engineers to engage in the assault crossing, together with the assaulting infantry battalions and storm and assault boats, were assembled behind the dikes on the west bank of the Rhine. The river level was at a 10-year low and the current velocity was estimated at from 5 to 8 feet per second.




DOUBLE ASSAULT AND STORM BOATS COVERED WITH NETS IN FINAL ASSEMBLY AREA BEHIND WINTER DIKE AT WHITE BEACH (D-1)

b. ASSAULT.

The artillery preparation in the 30th Division zone began at 0100. Under cover of the preparation the assaulting engineers and infantry moved up to the water's edge. In this division zone three "beaches" had been selected, as shown on Inclosure 3, over which the three infantry regiments would assault in column of battalions. On both the near and far shores the banks were flat or gently sloping with an abrupt drop of from one to four feet to the water level. The distance from the nearest dike to the water was 500 yards for the southern (Blue) beach, 800 yards for the middle (White) beach, and 150 yards for the northern (Red) beach. At 0200 when the artillery fire was shifted to the 79th Division zone, the assault battalions jumped off, each in four waves with two minute intervals between waves. The outboard motors had been heated previously by Medical Department chemical heat pads and covered with gas protective covers. As a result of this preheating, motors started easily and the departure of the 150 craft in the first wave was nearly simultaneous. The boundaries for this wave were marked by machine guns firing tracer ammunition. After the first wave landed, Signal Corps flashing lights were placed on the far shore to mark the boundaries. Opposition was light and there were few casualties in the assault crossing. The supporting battalions started to cross at 0330 and the bulk of the infantry of the 30th Division had crossed by 0600.

In the 79th Division area more difficulties were experienced due to poorer crossing sites. Long hand carry of assault craft was necessary, and narrower crossings had to be effected because of the predominance of steep banks and local inundated areas on the far shore. At 0305, immediately after the artillery fire had lifted, the first wave crossed with only three boats failing to make a successful crossing, the personnel of which managed to swim ashore. To prevent confusion, the boats of this wave remained on the far shore until the arrival of the next wave. The second wave left at H+15 minutes and all boats crossed successfully. The boats

of the first and second waves then returned to the near shore. The third wave departed at H+30 minutes, and the crossing was again entirely successful. After the crossing of the first wave, all boundaries were marked by flashing signal lights. Infantry of the remaining battalions of the division were crossed as craft became available. At 0600 an entire infantry regiment had been crossed at the southerly assault site and at 0730 all personnel of the assaulting infantry regiment had been crossed at the northern site. Between 1000 and 1345 the remaining infantry regiment was ferried across, one battalion being crossed by the 149th Engineer Combat Battalion and the other two by the 187th Engineer Combat Battalion.



CAMOUFLAGED DOUBLE ASSAULT AND STORM BOATS IN FINAL ASSEMBLY AREA BEHIND WINTER DIKES AT WHITE BEACH



LVTS DISPERSED NEAR WALLACH IN PREPARATION FOR ASSAULT (D-I)

c. ACCESS ROADS.

The breaching of the near shore winter dike, which was 12 to 15 feet high throughout the Corps zone, and the construction of roads across the flood plain to the bridge and ferry sites was begun immediately after the assault. In the 30th Division Zone, bulldozers were used to breach the dike and road construction was quickly completed because all available trucks had been previously loaded with a prefabricated road expedient consisting of 2-inch planks attached to Sommerfeld track. In the 79th Division zone, the 187th Engineer Combat Battalion had placed cratering charges in the dikes, which were fired after the crossing of the infantry assault battalions to create breaches for access roads. Bulldozers

completed the cuts and traffic passed through at $H + 1-1/2$ hours. While an entrance existed through the dike in the area of the 149th Engineer Combat Battalion, some dozer work was required to permit its use by wheeled vehicles. A total of 7,000 feet of road on the near shore east of the winter dikes was built and constantly improved by Corps.

Road construction on the far shore presented a greater problem due to a shortage of materials and heavy engineer equipment during early stages of the operation, making it necessary to utilize hand labor methods for the work. Sites had been so selected, however, that the distances from the bank to improved roads were not great and no delays were occasioned by a lack of passable exit roads.



SEAMULE (COMPLETELY ASSEMBLED) LOADED ON M19 TANK TRANSPORTER



LCVPS LOADED ON FLATBED TRAILERS READY FOR MOVEMENT TO LAUNCHING SITES. (ARMY MOVED THESE CRAFT TO LINTFORT DEPOT ON 25-TON PONTON TRAILERS)

d. FERRYING.

No difficulty was experienced in finding suitable sites for the LVTs. By 0250 they were operating on the three beaches in the 30th Division zone, and by 0400 nine were in operation in the 79th Division zone. They were used extensively throughout D-day, making 107 round trips in the first 24 hours in the 79th Division area alone. They could not make direct crossings since they drifted 300 to 500 yards downstream. However, this did not present any great difficulty as no special entrances or exits were required. DUKWs also gave excellent results as both cargo and personnel carriers and as general utility boats for bridge construction.

LCMs, LCVPs, and Seamules presented many problems both in trans-

portation and launching. In original planning it had been proposed to launch these craft in the Alter Rhine prior to H-hour and float them into the river as they were required. A drop of three feet in the river level exposed obstructions and made it necessary to abandon this plan. It then became necessary to develop suitable launching sites along the river (See Inclosure 3) and to transport the craft forward over the congested road net. In one instance these unwieldy loads caused a traffic jam at 0130 which was not straightened out until 0300.

Preparation of the site in the 30th Division zone, by the removal of wreckage and construction of hardstandings for the heavy equipment, was started with bulldozers and tankdozers at 0250, but enemy fire delayed completion of the work and no craft were launched at this site until 0600.



LCM LOADED ON M25 TANK TRANSPORTER

Except for three LCVPs launched at Red Beach which were in use by 0400, all LCMs, LCVPs and Seamules for the 30th Division were launched at the heavy boat launching site just described. At 0500 landing sites had been prepared for the LCMs on the far shore. By 0640 five LCVPs had been launched from the heavy boat launching site, which raised the total to eight LCVPs operating in the division zone; two at Red beach, and three each at White and Blue beaches. The first LCM was launched by the 1153d Engineer Combat Group at 0900. By noon three LCMs were in operation at Blue Beach and six were operating at White Beach. None were used at Red Beach due to the poor road net on the far side.



LCM BEING LAUNCHED BY A CRANE

In the 79th Division area similar difficulties were experienced in launching and operating the heavy Naval craft and Seamules. While there were two German ferry sites available, they were not utilized for launching

since they were upstream of the assault crossing sites and exposed to enemy fire. A site served by a suitable road net was developed at the location indicated on Inclosure 3. Moving of LCMs to the launching site was delayed by a fire in a building beside the access road caused by enemy mortar fire. The loaded transporters became mired in the flood plain, and the tractors had to be brought up to pull the trailers to the launching sites. The first LCM was launched at about 0600 but was knocked out by artillery fire at 0800. The remaining LCMs were launched by 1900. The launching of LCVPs began at 0700 and was completed by 1200. The most successful procedure employed in launching LCMs was to back the transporter into the water and float the craft by a combination of sliding and lifting with a 20-ton crawler crane.



DOZER PUSHING LCM INTO WATER AFTER REMOVAL OF TANK TRANSPORTER

The Seamules presented the greatest launching problem in the operation. In the 79th Division zone the transporters loaded with Seamules became bogged down on the flood plain and it was necessary to construct a road to the launching site. This delayed the launching of the first Seamule until 1200 on D+1. Two more were launched by 1800, and the remaining three on D+2. They were used for propulsion of ferries, boom installation and patrolling. In the 30th Division zone, only one Seamule was launched. It was in the water at 1740 and was thereafter employed as a patrol boat.



LOADING LCVPS AT BLUE BEACH. LCM OPERATING IN THE RIVER

The LCVPs were by far the most useful of the heavier craft employed. They ferried personnel and light equipment, and were used as power

boats for propelling rafts and in the construction of bridges. LCMs were not as valuable as had been expected. They were used to transport tanks, TDs and heavy equipment, but because of their bulk, they were difficult to control in case of motor failure. Delays in launching, and early completion of bridges, detracted from their usefulness.

Only six DD tanks were crossed under their own power. They crossed successfully, but the crossings were so slow due to the relatively high current that the remaining DD tanks were crossed on ferries.



CLOSING DAMAGED END RAMP ON LCM BY MEANS OF ROPES ATTACHED TO M4 TANK

The use of standard ponton ferries was much less than had been anticipated, due to early completion of bridges. By noon of D-day, the 1153d Engineer Combat Group had two Bailey rafts and several treadway rafts

23

¹⁵
* All DD's Attached To the 30th Inf Div crossed rapidly under their own power without difficulty

M. D. Runyon
Lt. Col. CO of the DD Tanks.



MEDIUM TANK BEING CARRIED TO FAR SHORE ON CLASS 40 BAILEY RAFT.
OFFICER WEARING THE WHITE HELMET IS "BEACHMASTER"

in operation, which were utilized to move tanks across the river during daylight hours only until noon of D + 1. Five storm boats, each powered with a 55-horsepower motor, were used successfully to propel each Bailey raft. In the zone of the 79th Division, where completion of the bridge was delayed by enemy fire, greater dependence had to be placed on ferrying. The first infantry support raft constructed was knocked out by artillery fire at 0430, but eight were in operation by noon of D-day. Each was powered by three 22-horsepower outboard motors and, although the crossing speed was quite slow, no unusual difficulties were encountered. A total of five Bailey rafts were constructed and used during the first three days of the operation, the first having been completed at H + 8 hours. Numerous methods of propelling the Bailey rafts were employed. Seamules afforded

the best means of propulsion where they could be utilized, but they drew too much water to operate at all sites. Two power utility boats were tried but had insufficient power for proper control. A combination of one LCVP and two power utility boats was found to be satisfactory. Attempts were made to use 55-horsepower outboard motors attached directly to the pontoons, but this proved unsatisfactory because of faulty connecting brackets. Five storm boats were used to propel a Bailey raft, with fair success. The use of two LCVPs was the most satisfactory method of propulsion employed.

The desirability of locating ferry sites downstream of tactical bridges was recognized and this was done wherever feasible. In several cases, however, the only sites not requiring extensive preparation were imme-



CLASS 40 BAILEY RAFT ANCHORED TO NEAR SHORE AT BLUE BEACH

diately upstream of the tactical bridges. In these instances, each ferry was equipped with two anchors to be cast in the event of power failures. Despite this precaution several bridges were damaged by derelict ferries.

46. CORPS BRIDGES.

a. GENERAL.

It was not intended to start construction of bridges until after seizure of bridgeheads which would eliminate observed enemy fire on the sites, because only a 50 % reserve of bridge material was available without utilizing the equipage reserved for re-equipping treadway bridge companies for operations east of the Rhine. However, due to the light enemy resistance encountered, and the availability of smoke for concealment of sites, construction was started while the enemy still had observation on several of the bridge and assembly sites.

b. M2 TREADWAY BRIDGE AT MILCHPLATZ.

There were two excellent bridge sites for the M2 treadway bridge in the 79th Division zone at existing landing stages, but they were south of the assault sites and exposed to enemy fire. A site was chosen at Milchplatz in the northerly portion of the division zone, at which a minimum of road construction on the far bank was necessary. As had been expected, this southernmost corps bridge drew considerable enemy artillery fire. Construction started at 0800 on D-day and work progressed at a satisfactory rate in spite of light artillery fire. Progress would have been more rapid had more power utility boats or their equivalent been available. At 2330 approximately 720 feet of this bridge had been completed when it was struck by three LCMs. The engine of one LCM had stalled and it was drifting toward the bridge. The other two LCMs attempted to intercept it but all three craft collided with the bridge, breaking it about 240 feet from shore. The replacement of the damaged section was not completed until 1200 on D+1. All during the day of D+1 moderate enemy artillery fire fell in the vicinity, although it is not believed that the enemy had direct observation on the bridge. Attempts by Corps Artillery to locate

and neutralize the enemy artillery firing on this bridge were unsuccessful, but all firing ceased while liaison planes were in the air. During the morning, artillery fire knocked out seven floats but construction continued, proceeding very slowly through the afternoon. At 1910 on D+1, the Corps Engineer issued orders relieving the 1148th Engineer Combat Group of the mission of constructing the bridge and booms in this area, and assigning the mission to the 1103d Engineer Combat Group, which placed the 208th Engineer Combat Battalion on the work. The bridge, 1260 feet in length, was completed at 1645 on D+2 even though light artillery fire still fell in the area. Shortly after completion artillery fire punctured several additional floats, but these were replaced promptly and the bridge was opened to traffic at 1800, D+2. The bridge was strafed by an enemy plane at 2240 but the plane was shot down before damage was done.



M2 TREADWAY BRIDGE AT MILCHPLATZ UNDER CONSTRUCTION

c. MI TREADWAY BRIDGE AT MEHRUM.

Construction of the 1110-foot MI treadway bridge at Mehrum was started at 0630 on D-day. Work was interrupted at 0700 by enemy artillery fire but was resumed at 0800. During the afternoon artillery fire knocked out 144 feet of the bridge. Construction of the bridge proper was completed at 0615, D+1 but, inasmuch as it had been constructed by the use of guy cables, it was not opened to traffic until 0830 after anchors had been placed. Construction of approximately 1500 feet of access road across the flood plain had been carried on simultaneously with the bridge construction. The roads was surfaced with crushed rock from a local



BAILEY CRIB ANCHOR TO BE USED ON MI TREADWAY BRIDGE AT MEHRUM

stockpile and topped with gravel. At 1000 on D+1 a Seamule drifted against the bridge and caused minor damage. By 1135 another Seamule had succeeded, by use of a tow line and anchor, in relieving the pressure of the first Seamule against the bridge, and it was opened to limited traffic even though there was a pronounced "S" curve in the alignment. By 1700 the Seamule had been pulled away and the bridge was opened to normal traffic.

d. 25-TON PONTON BRIDGE AT WALLACH.

Construction of the float reinforced 25-ton ponton bridge at Wallach was started at 0600 on D-day, at which time the hasty approach road which



25-TON PONTON BRIDGE CONVOY MOVING TO WALLACH BRIDGE SITE

had been completed was being surfaced with crushed rock and gravel. The bridge, approximately 1150 feet in length, was completed at 0100, D+I, but work on the far approach and the placing of treads on the bridge delayed opening to traffic until 0630. Anchors for this bridge had been obtained from barges along the Maas River, and weighed from 200 to 500 pounds. The anchor system was later reinforced by the addition of Bailey crib anchors. Construction of approximately 2400 feet of road on the near bank and 1500 feet on the far bank was required for connection to the existing road net. This work was carried on during the bridge construction, using local gravel and rock previously stockpiled in the vicinity. Due to lack of equipment and unexpectedly early completion of the bridge,



25-TON PONTON BRIDGE AT WALLACH OPENED FOR TRAFFIC

considerable improvement of the approach roads had to be made under traffic.



M2 TREADWAY BRIDGE UNDER CONSTRUCTION AT WALLACH.
NOTE THE PREFABRICATED ROADWAY

e. M2 TREADWAY BRIDGE AT WALLACH.

The M2 treadway bridge site at Wallach required construction of 2400 feet of road on the near shore and 600 feet on the far shore for connection with the existing road net. Only minor work was necessary to prepare the abutments.

At 0430 on D-day an improvised approach road consisting of Sommerfeld track and timber planking covered with crushed rock and gravel had been constructed. Construction of the bridge started at 0630. Until -0815



FAR SHORE APPROACH TO M2 TREADWAY BRIDGE AT WALLACH

all treadway rafts constructed for later incorporation into the bridge were used as ferries. At 0830, as a result of far shore ground reconnaissance, it was found desirable to shift the site of the bridge by 100 yards to take maximum advantage of the existing far shore road net. At 1045 engineer troops crossed the river to start construction of the exit road.

At 1530 this 1150-foot bridge was completed and at 1600 it was opened to traffic. Unfortunately, a Bailey raft loaded with an M4 tank got out of control and floated into the bridge at 1840, causing some damage. The damaged portion was cut away with explosives and by 0200, D+1, the repairs were completed and the bridge reopened to traffic.

The method of constructing this bridge, while different in a few respects, was in general typical of the manner in which all the treadway bridges were constructed, and is described below in detail. For two days, both the treadway bridge companies and combat companies had been engaged in inflating floats and attaching assembled saddles and saddle beams to them in a woods near Alpon. (See Inclosure 11). All floats were checked for leaks, and the points where the treadways would rest on the saddle beams were marked with luminous paint. The floats were then placed in rows on each side of a road and camouflaged to resemble hedges. Two columns of trucks could load simultaneously between the rows. During the time the floats, saddles and distributing beams were being assembled, twenty dump trucks were fitted with racks for transporting them to the



COMPLETED M2 TREADWAY BRIDGE AT WALLACH

site. These dump trucks were loaded on the afternoon of D-1. On D-day Brockway trucks, as well as the dump trucks, were utilized to transport the treadways and standard loads necessary to complete the bridge. Construction was carried on at three section assembling sites, one of the assembling sites being downstream and two upstream from the bridge. Stocks of spare parts, anchor cable, anchors, rope, and other equipment had been placed at each assembling site.

Intermittent artillery fire interfered with construction several times, particularly when the smoke screen over the bridge site lifted and permitted




DAMAGED FLOATS CAUSED BY CLASS 40 BAILEY RAFT DRIFTING INTO M2 TREADWAY BRIDGE AT WALLACH



TRUCKS CARRYING TREADWAY BRIDGING ON APPROACH ROAD TO BRIDGE SITE AT WALLACH. THE ROAD WAS CONSTRUCTED OF SOMMERFELD TRACK COVERED WITH ROCK

enemy observation. One of the assembling sites had to be moved a few hundred yards after enemy artillery had registered on it. Another site had to be moved as the bridge neared completion to avoid interfering with the guy lines.

Since one power utility boat could handle only a two ponton section, this size of raft was used in assembly of the bridge. One 200-pound upstream anchor was used on each float and a 100-pound downstream anchor was used on every second float, making a total of 216 anchors for the bridge.



TREADWAY RAFT UNDER CONSTRUCTION AT WALLACH

47. DEFENSIVE MEASURES.

As passive means of protection against debris, floating mines, barges, explosive filled motor boats, submarines and Gamma swimmers, booms were constructed across the river. Location and construction details are given in ensuing sections of the report.

Antitank guns and self-propelled tank destroyers were dug into the dikes all along the Corps front in position to fire on barges, boats, and submarines. As a secondary mission they were charged with neutralizing enemy small arms fire from the far bank.

An engineer river patrol consisting of two Seamules or other motor propelled craft operated continuously above the bridges. The missions of the patrol were to intercept any derelict barges or other large floating

objects and either beach or anchor them, and to prevent Gamma swimmers from reaching the bridges. Each river patrol boat was equipped with two .50 caliber machine guns, two "bazookas", a radio for communication with tank destroyer elements emplaced on the banks, spare anchors, and prefabricated five-pound demolition charges. During early stages of the operation one of the demolition charges from each boat was detonated in the water every five minutes during hours of darkness to discourage attack by Gamma swimmers.

To provide protection against aerial attack three antiaircraft gun battalions, two antiaircraft automatic weapons battalions (self-propelled), and nine antiaircraft automatic weapons battalions (mobile) were disposed throughout the assembly and assault areas. The mobile automatic weapons battalions attached to the 1148th and 1153d Engineer Combat Groups protected bridge convoys in the assembly areas and in movement to the river. Elements of these battalions were ferried across the river early in the operation to provide the maximum possible defense. The antiaircraft battalions were given the secondary mission of firing upon any targets of opportunity floating down the river.

One antiaircraft searchlight battery was disposed so that it could provide night illumination of the crossing sites in addition to the performance of its normal missions. Fifteen CDLs were emplaced to cover the booms. Two chemical smoke generating companies were available to smoke the crossing areas on call. Barrage balloons were utilized for the protection of the bridges against low flying aircraft.

A British naval unit equipped with an underwater listening device was attached to the Army and placed in operation upstream of the Milchplatz bridge. As no enemy water-borne attacks were made in this locality, the effectiveness of the equipment was not recorded.

48. CONSTRUCTION OF BOOMS.

XVI Corps plans contemplated the installation of booms across the river as indicated on Inclosure 3. However, great difficulty was experienced in the construction of the booms, and no boom was completely installed by any Corps unit, with exception of the one at Homberg, which was

in place only a few hours before being knocked out, and which had not been reinstalled when the Army Engineer assumed responsibility for the river.

The upstream boom was to be constructed by the 275th Engineer Combat Battalion (Divisional Engineers, 75th Infantry Division) to cover the undemolished spans of the railroad bridge at Homberg. This unit constructed an excellent boom under moderate enemy artillery, small arms, and machine gun fire, in darkness on the morning of D-day; but a direct hit by enemy artillery severed the main cable, releasing the boom. A second boom was constructed, partly from the salvaged portions of the first boom, and was put in position on the night of D-day. On the morning of D + 1, a 20 mm projectile from strafing aircraft hit the main anchor cable and the boom was again broken. On the third attempt to place the boom, during D + 2, the boat pulling it into position had motor trouble and was forced downstream by the current, fouling the boom along the shore.

At Milchplatz, the 1276th Engineer Combat Battalion assembled the log boom on shore but found it impossible to tow it to the far shore. The battalion also had started assembly of the British Admiralty netting on the shore, but had not started on the stagger boom when relieved by the 208th Engineer Combat Battalion on D + 1. The latter battalion succeeded in putting in some elements of the stagger boom, but did not complete it prior to assumption of responsibility for the river by the Army Engineer.

The 1153d Engineer Combat Group did not attempt to place the Wallach booms until D + 1 due to the non-availability of power boats. The log debris boom was constructed on shore and towed across the river but broke before installation was completed. Some sections of the stagger boom were in place when the project was turned over to Army.

49. RELIEF OF CORPS ENGINEER TROOPS BY ARMY.

At 2330, D + 2, the Corps Engineer issued orders reorganizing the corps engineer units preparatory to releasing responsibility for engineer work on the river to the Army Engineer. The forward engineer combat groups, the 1103d and 1153d, were moved ahead to support the attack east of the Rhine. The 1148th Engineer Combat Group, with those units attached which were to be transferred to Army, assumed maintenance of all roads

west of the Rhine River, maintenance of bridges, conduct of salvage operations, and completion of construction of booms.

At 1200, D + 3, the 1148th Engineer Combat Group, with attached units, reverted to the control of Army, and the Army Engineer took over all engineer work west of the east bank of the river.

ARMY

50. GENERAL.

Army engineer units constructed three floating Bailey bridges, a 25-ton ponton bridge, a treadway bridge, a Class 70 NL pontoon barge ferry, and necessary booms to supplement corps booms, cleared routes through Wesel, and relieved corps engineers of all responsibility for the river as soon as conditions warranted. Construction at Wesel was initiated as soon as the city had been cleared of the enemy by a British Commando brigade which crossed the river at 2100 on D-1 and attacked the city. Construction at other sites was initiated as the tactical situation and traffic conditions permitted. (See Inclosure 11 for locations).

51. 1142d ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP.

a. MISSION.

This group was assigned the tasks of constructing Class 40 Bailey Bridge Number 1 in the vicinity of Mehrum, and of installing such booms required for its protection as were not installed by Corps.

b. ORGANIZATION.

Composition of the group for the operation was as follows:

Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 1142d Engineer Combat Group

172d Engineer Combat Battalion

184th Engineer Combat Battalion

278th Engineer Combat Battalion

630th Engineer Light Equipment Company

1451st Engineer Dump Truck Company

1503d Engineer Water Supply Company

Detachment, 2d Quartermaster Battalion (Mobile) (96 2½-ton trucks)

Planning, preparation and construction of the bridge was accomplished by the 172d Engineer Combat Battalion with Company B of the 278th Engineer Combat Battalion, the light equipment company, the dump truck company, the water supply company (less two platoons), and the quartermaster detachment, attached. The mission had been assigned on 8 March 1945. Other units of the group engaged in normal engineer work in the group area of responsibility, installed booms and supported the 172d Engineer Combat Battalion.

c. BRIDGE DESIGN.

The bridge design employed 42-foot floating bays, 150-foot triple double landing bays and one Class 40 sliding bay. Standard Class 70 landing bay piers were required to support the landing bays. A schematic drawing of the completed bridge is appended as Inclosure 12.

d. BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION.

At 1500 on D+1 the Army Engineer instructed the group commander to initiate work; construction of approach roads and hauling of materials began immediately. By careful advance planning the arrival of materials at the site was so scheduled that bridge construction was at no time delayed. Over 600 truck loads of bridge material were hauled to the site without interruption, in accordance with a detailed traffic schedule. Before actual bridge construction began, 96 2-1/2-ton trucks had been loaded with bridging materials ready to be delivered to the bridge site on call.

Construction of approximately 900 feet of approach road over the flood plain was necessary. This was accomplished by grading, hauling in a base course of large stone, and surfacing with river gravel excavated under water by means of a carryall scraper powered by a waterproofed tractor.

The near shore landing bay of the bridge was launched triple single,

counterbalanced by double stories and additional panels on the inshore end until the offshore end rested on the landing bay pier which had been brought inshore as far as possible. The landing bay pier was then launched with the aid of two bulldozers to the final position and the inshore end of the landing bay was jacked down onto the bearings. The placing of the second story of the triple truss construction required the use of chord jacks due to the sag in the landing bay and was time consuming and laborious. This method of construction was chosen for the near shore landing bay to permit the earliest possible assembly into the bridge of the floating bays which had already been constructed.



TWO CRANES LOADING A CRIB ANCHOR FOR BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 1 ON TO A BAILEY RAFT



NEAR SHORE LANDING BAY OF BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 1 BEING LAUNCHED.
NOTE LANDING BAY PIER BROUGHT INSHORE; ALSO TIMBER CRIB PIER
ON BANK

The far shore landing bay was launched in the same manner, but the landing bay pier was moved riverward gradually during installation of the second story in such a manner as to control the sag in the landing bay, and no difficulty or delay was encountered in placing of the second story. 12'x24' timber cribs were used as shore piers to support the landing bays at each end of the bridge.

The far shore pier was selected as the one on which adjustment would be made in the final location of end posts. This pier was located in such a manner that if computed bridge length proved correct the final position of the end post would be on the pier centerline. When actual closure

was effected the end post fell at the rear quarterpoint of the pier, necessitating the removal of the top portion of the pier on the river side to provide clearance for the bottom chords and swaybracing of the landing bay.

Anchorage for the bridge was as shown on Inclosure 12. Bailey rubble-filled crib anchors with anchor cables supported by buoys were placed prior to initiation of construction.

Eight outboard motors, mounted on assault boats, two power utility boats and one Seamule were available for use in the bridge construction,



LAUNCHING NEAR SHORE LANDING BAY OF BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 1 WITH
AID OF BULLDOZERS



ADDING SECOND STORY TO NEAR SHORE LANDING BAY, BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 1

but these proved to have insufficient power to do the work required. Two LCMs were obtained from Corps, and were used to attach upstream anchor lines and to tow floating bays into position in the bridge. Bridge construction began at 0800 on D+2 and was completed at 0450 on D+4. The length of the bridge was 1428 feet.

Construction proceeded on a 24-hour basis until the bridge was completed. Full use of lights was made during hours of darkness, with interruption only during periods of enemy aerial activity. Some enemy strafing and shelling occurred during the operation but no personnel casualties were suffered.

e. BOOMS.

The 278th Engineer Combat Battalion (less Company B) was assigned the mission to construct a log boom and an Admiralty net boom upstream of the Mehrum bridges, and a grommet type antisubmarine net on the demolished railroad bridge in the vicinity of Homberg.

Attempts were made to install the log boom by placing a 1-1/4" cable across the river, adding sections of logs on shore, and towing them to their proper place with an LCVP. This method was abandoned due to the difficulty of towing the log sections. The cable was then laid out on the near shore with the upstream end anchored and all logs fastened to it. A cable was run from the downstream end to a winch on a D-7 bulldozer on the far shore which pulled the completed boom across the river. Two heavy anchors and a guy line were used to give intermediate support to the boom. After four days operation it was necessary to rebuild this boom due to J-bolts with which the logs were attached to the cable straightening out, nuts becoming unscrewed from bolts, and chains which joined the logs pulling the J-bolts out of the ends of logs.

The Admiralty net boom was assembled along the near shore and the upstream end attached to a deadman. The downstream end was attached to a winch on a D-7 bulldozer on the far shore by means of a 1-1/4" cable. The bulldozer pulled the net across the river where it was anchored; however, before river anchors could be attached the net broke. A 3/4" cable was attached to the headrope and the net again launched. Anchors were attached and no further difficulties were experienced.

The grommet type antisubmarine boom was attached to the wreckage of the demolished railroad bridge in the vicinity of Homberg without difficulty. No trouble was experienced in towing the net sections to their proper places with a Seamule, or in attaching them to the piers and wreckage of the bridge.



GROMMET TYPE NET BOOM INSTALLED, VICINITY OF HOMBERG

The group also took over the task of completing the log, stagger, and British Admiralty netting booms at Milchplatz which had been started by Corps. The stagger boom was completed without difficulty. It was constructed in 150-foot sections, using logs ten feet long joined together by loops of 1-inch cable. Sections were towed into place by Seamules. Anchorage was provided by fluked Bailey panel anchors attached to 55-gallon drums at the ends of each section. Prior to completion of the log boom, and prior to the start of work on the installation of the British admiralty netting boom, consideration was being given to the construction of a 25-ton ponton bridge in the vicinity of Orsoy. Construction of these booms was delayed pending decision in the matter, with a view to their possible movement upstream to the Orsoy site. (They were eventually installed at Orsoy after the close of the period covered in this report).

52. 1143d ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP.

a. MISSION.

This group was assigned the mission to construct Class 40 Bailey Bridge Number 2 and three protective booms across the Rhine River in the vicinity of Wallach.

b. ORGANIZATION.

Composition of the group was as follows :

Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 1143d Engineer Combat Group

277th Engineer Combat Battalion
 336th Engineer Combat Battalion
 244th Engineer Combat Battalion
 1370th Engineer Dump Truck Company
 2733d Engineer Light Equipment Company

c. BRIDGE PARK AND TRANSPORTATION.

The 336th Engineer Combat Battalion operated the group bridge park at Geldern. Heavy equipment and trucks from the 2733d Engineer Light Equipment Company and the 1370th Engineer Dump Truck Company were used to transport the materials to the bridge site. The route utilized carried considerable heavy traffic during the operation, creating a difficult problem in coordination and supply of materials.

d. BRIDGE DESIGN.

The bridge design was the same as that utilized for Bailey Bridge Number 1, except that 400 feet of double single continuous fixed bridge, supported on timber crib piers at 80-foot intervals, was required between the near bank and the summer dike, and 32-foot instead of 42-foot floating bays were employed. A plan of the bridge is attached as Inclosure 13.

e. BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION.

The bridge, 1739 feet in length, was constructed by the 277th Engineer Combat Battalion. The fixed span was constructed and launched from the top of the summer dike, using a 20-foot single single launching nose. The landing bays were constructed on the bank and were launched out and down to connect with the transom of the landing bay pier. Because of the weight and length of the landing bay and the one to eight slope of the bank, two D-7 dozers were used to snub the landing bay. The floating bays were assembled and incorporated



WEST SHORE FIXED SPAN UNDER CONSTRUCTION, BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 2

into the bridge using Seamules to propel the rafts into place. Construction began at 0700 on D+2 and the bridge was opened to traffic at 0800 on D+5.



LAUNCHING THE WEST SHORE LANDING BAY, BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 2

Split pins which secure the panel pins in the connecting posts of the floating bays were sheared by the semicircular shoulder next to the panel pin hole with the movement of the bridge under traffic. This was remedied by placing a piece of 2-inch pipe 3/4-inch long over the panel pin to act as a collar.



A FLOATING BAY BEING MOVED INTO PLACE, BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 2

f. ANCHORAGE.

Upstream anchorage consisted of six 1-inch cable guy lines fastened to deadman, twenty-nine 400-pound or heavier anchors 750 feet from the bridge, and forty-two 200-pound anchors 250 feet from the bridge. The 200-pound anchors were cast as the bridge was constructed. After the bridge was completed the heavier anchors were cast from a treadway bridge 750 feet upstream. This eliminated the necessity of using large craft immediately upstream from the bridge.

g. BOOMS.

Due to the rapid clearing of the enemy from the far shore, boom requi-

rements were reduced and the 1143d Engineer Combat Group was required to complete and maintain only two booms, rather than the three originally contemplated. The 277th Engineer Combat Battalion accomplished this work in addition to the bridge construction. The stagger boom started by Corps downstream of the Wallach bridges was completed, and a log boom was installed upstream. The log boom was placed by assembly along the shore, securing the upstream end to a near shore holdfast, and pulling the downstream end to a holdfast by means of a winch on a D-7 dozer on the far shore. 1-1/4-inch wire rope, with logs fastened to it by J-bolts, was used in the boom.

h. MISCELLANEOUS.

The 244th Engineer Combat Battalion, and other units as they completed assigned tasks, engaged in normal engineer work in the group area of responsibility and supported the 277th Engineer Combat Battalion.

53. 1117th ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP.

a. MISSION.

This group was assigned the following missions at Wesel :

- (1) To construct a Class 40 M2 floating treadway bridge
- (2) To construct a Class 36 float reinforced 25-ton ponton bridge
- (3) To construct Class 40 Bailey Bridge No. 3
- (4) To construct and operate a Class 70 NL pontoon (Rhino) ferry
- (5) To open the main traffic routes through the city of Wesel as shown on Inclosure 11
- (6) To install a total of six booms

(Relative locations are shown on Inclosure 11).

b. ORGANIZATION.

The composition of the 1117th Engineer Combat Group for the operation was as follows :

Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 1117th Engineer Combat Group

167th Engineer Combat Battalion

248th Engineer Combat Battalion

1253d Engineer Combat Battalion

1698th Engineer Combat Battalion

551st Engineer Heavy Ponton Battalion

536th Engineer Light Ponton Company

574th Engineer Light Ponton Company

1000th Engineer Treadway Bridge Company

2705th Engineer Dump Truck Company

c. ACCESS ROADS.

The 1253d Engineer Combat Battalion (less Companies B and C), with the 2705th Engineer Dump Truck Company attached, was assigned the task of constructing and maintaining access roads to the bridge sites. Practically no road construction was required on the far shore as a city street existed along the top of the river bank. On the near shore, however, approximately 3300 lineal feet of road had to be constructed over the soft flood plain. Immediately upon receipt of instructions from the Army Engineer at 0915 on D + 1 to proceed with construction, the planned road net was swept for mines and an expedient road constructed. The roads consisted of a layer of Hessian mat, 4-foot treads of chespalings, a layer of Sommerfeld track, a layer of pierced steel plank and a covering layer of 4 to 6 inches of crushed rock. The roads held up well under

traffic, but became extremely rough and required constant surface maintenance. Inasmuch as the silty flood plain did not become inundated during the life of the bridges, no definite conclusions can be drawn as to the sufficiency of this type expedient on a saturated flood plain.



LAYING EXPEDIENT ROAD APPROACH TO THE WESEL BRIDGES

d. SUPPLY AND TRANSPORTATION.

Company C of the 1698th Engineer Combat Battalion operated the group bridge park.

Organic transportation of units within the group allocated by group headquarters for hauling materials. Early work was greatly expedited

by loading the material on trucks prior to D-day, and infiltrating bridge loads to the sites from a forward truck assembly area as needed. Later phases of the construction were delayed by lack of materials due to traffic jams on the Venlo - Wesel highway following completion of the treadway bridge.

e. M2 TREADWAY BRIDGE AND CLASS 70 FERRY.

The 248th Engineer Combat Battalion, with the 1000th Engineer Treadway Bridge Company attached, was given the mission of constructing the Class 40 M2 treadway bridge and the Class 70 NL pontoon ferry.



FAR SHORE APPROACH, TREADWAY BRIDGE AT WESEL



TREADWAY BRIDGE AT WESEL, LOOKING FROM CENTER TOWARD THE WEST SHORE

The bridge was built by rafts, using four assembly sites where two ponton section rafts were constructed. Assembly sites were leveled with a D-7 dozer and surfaced with an expedient road mat to support the Brockway bridge trucks. The bridge was constructed from the far shore in order to keep the restricted area on the near shore free for assembly sites. Considerable blasting was required to breach the concrete retaining wall along the far shore bank to provide an approach. Enemy action in the town of Wesel delayed completion of this work and it was necessary to begin constructing the bridge upstream of the final site. Approximately 180 feet of bridge was assembled in this manner and was moved downstream into position after the approach had been completed.

Power utility boats and LCVs were used for casting anchors and for propelling rafts into position for incorporation into the bridge. Enemy action hindered bridge construction somewhat and three casualties were suffered. Construction began at 1505 on D+1 and the first traffic crossed at 0405 on D+2. The bridge was 1284 feet long, composed of 103 floating spans and 36 feet of trestle approach.

The Class 70 ferry was a standard 100-ton 4×12 NL ("Rhino") barge as described in Bureau of Yards and Docks Pamphlet 322.3257, Pontoon Gear Manual. A ferry site downstream from the demolished railroad bridge was chosen to prevent possible damage to the tactical bridges in the event that the ferry got out of control. The NL pontoons were assembled



FOUR BOAT — THREE BAY PART UNDER CONSTRUCTION
FOR 25-TON PONTON BRIDGE, WESEL



WAITING FOR A PART TO BE BROUGHT INTO POSITION FOR INCORPORATION
INTO THE WESEL 25-TON PONTON BRIDGE

in 1×12 strings prior to the operation, hauled to the site on 40-foot Air Corps trailers, unloaded by two 2-cubic yard cranes, and assembled by Naval personnel into a 4×12 barge. Landing stages were constructed by driving piling and laying steel stringers and decking in a manner similar to that used in fixed bridge construction. A hinged ramp which could be raised or lowered onto the deck of the landed ferry was erected on each landing stage, greatly facilitating loading and unloading of vehicles. Two LCMs were used for propulsion and a Seamule was kept standing by to furnish extra power when landing the ferry. No major difficulties were experienced in constructing the barge, but the task was time consuming and the ferry was not placed in full operation until D+6.



PIERCED PLANK USED FOR TREADS

f. 25-Ton PONTON BRIDGE.

The 551st Engineer Heavy Ponton Battalion with Companies B and C of the 1253d Engineer Combat Battalion, Company A of the 1698th Engineer Combat Battalion, the 536th Engineer Light Ponton Company, and one platoon of the 574th Engineer Light Ponton Company attached, was assigned the mission of constructing the heavy ponton bridge and installing protective booms. The bridge was a standard Class 36, 25-ton ponton bridge (pneumatic float reinforced), consisting of 90 feet of fixed trestle spans and 1140 feet of floating bridge. The construction was greatly facilitated by special prior loading of the bridge trucks. The pontons were turned upright on the semitrailers. Pneumatic floats were inflated

and assembled with saddles and loaded on top of the pontons. A schedule was set up for the dispatch of trucks from a forward assembly area as needed. The bridge was built by parts at five sites, a near shore installation site, a near shore and far shore hingespan raft site, and two 4 boat - 3 bay part assembly sites. The bridge assembly crew spent considerable time waiting for the bridge parts to be completed and brought into place. A larger part assembly crew, or the use of another part assembly site, would have relieved this situation. Suitable lumber for treads was not available so pierced steel planking was used. Ponton bow adaptors were used on upstream bows of all pontons. The bridge was started at 2000 on D+1 and completed at 1850 on D+2.



COMPLETED 25-TON BRIDGE CARRYING TRAFFIC AT WESEL

g. BOOMS.

Boom installation, as shown on Inclosure II, was carried on simultaneously with the heavy ponton bridge construction.

The block on the Lippe-Seiten Canal was constructed by jamming the downstream gate of a vertical lift lock. Eight pounds of TNT were used to break the hoisting mechanism. Construction time was four hours in blackout.

The concertina boom over the Lippe River consisted of cable and concertina attached to the wreckage of a demolished highway bridge. A double M2 assault boat with a 22-horsepower outboard motor was used for transportation and construction. Construction time was eight hours in blackout.

In constructing the log and concertina antimine and antidebris boom over the Rhine in the vicinity of Buderich, one-inch cable was laid across the river and both ends anchored to holdfasts. Seventy-five foot sections of boom were assembled on shore and fastened to the cable by pulleys. They were then towed to their proper place on the boom with a power utility boat. Each section was anchored with a 200-pound anchor. Construction time was approximately 100 hours.


The concertina boom over the Rhine at Wesel consisted of cable and concertina fastened to the demolished highway bridge. The boom was installed in sections, covering the larger gaps first. Later the entire width of river was covered. Work was done from power utility boats and from the demolished bridge. On gaps wider than 50 feet, 55-gallon steel drums were used to support the cable. Construction time was 12 hours of which eight were in blackout.

The cable boom over the Rhine at Wesel consisted of a cable stretched across the river and supported by buoys at such intervals that the cable was approximately two inches below the water surface. The cable was laid across the river by use of power utility boats and a cable reel. Some difficulty was experienced in tightening the cable and in placing the floats. Construction time was approximately 12 hours of which eight were in blackout.

The demolished railroad bridge was used to anchor the downstream antisubmarine boom. A cable was tied to the demolished structure and weighted cyclone fencing was suspended from it so as to block those openings in the broken bridge through which surface craft or submarines might pass. An effective barrier had been constructed in three days but improvement of the boom and the closing of small gaps continued for several days.

h. BAILEY BRIDGE.

The 167th Engineer Combat Battalion with the 574th Engineer Light Ponton Company (less one platoon) attached was given the mission of



FIXED BRIDGE BETWEEN SUMMER DIKE AND NEAR SHORE BANKSEAT OF BAILEY
BRIDGE NO. 3

constructing Bailey Bridge Number 3. The design of the bridge, indicated on Inclosure 14, was substantially the same as that used by the 1142d Engineer Combat Group, except that Christchurch cubes were used for piers instead of timber cribbing. A 160-foot double single fixed Bailey bridge, supported on Christchurch cube piers at 80-foot intervals, was used to span the dry gap between the bankseat and summer dike on the west bank. The fixed bridge was constructed and launched from the top of the summer dike. To avoid interference with the landing bay, the launching nose was omitted and temporary piers, midway between the final piers, were utilized in launching.



LANDING BAY AND FIXED SPAN RESTING ON STEEL CRIB PIER AT NEAR SHORE
END OF BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 3



NEAR SHORE LANDING BAY IN PLACE, BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 3

Use of Christchurch cribs in lieu of timber in the pier construction saved much time and labor. A scour mat 30 feet square, composed of two layers of chespaling covered by one layer of cyclone fencing, was laid and staked for the footing of each pier. The near shore landing bay was constructed on rollers on the bank. After being launched to a connection with the landing bay pier and boomed out to final position, the inshore end was lifted with hydraulic jacks and the shore pier built beneath it. The steepness of the bank (12-1 1/2 feet between the top of the concrete wall and existing water level) presented a difficult problem in launching the far shore landing bay. Launching was accomplished by erecting a six-foot pier of steel cribs on the inshore edge of the landing bay pier, and launching down to that pier, using preventer tackle on the landing

bay. The landing bay was then jacked down to a final connection with the landing bay transom. The 42-foot floating bays of the bridge were constructed without difficulty. A standard 20-foot Bailey ramp was placed on the east end of the bridge. At the west end base plates were set 27 inches below ground for a level connection.



COMPLETED BAILEY BRIDGE AT WESEL. NOTE SLIDING BAY TOWARD MIDSTREAM

The bridge was 1415 feet long. Construction began at 0600 on D+2 and, in spite of some delay in receipt of materials caused by traffic congestion, the bridge was opened at 1900 on D+3. Spasmodic light artillery fire occurred throughout the construction but no casualties were sustained.



WEST APPROACH TO CLASS 40 BAILEY BRIDGE AT WESEL

i. ROUTE CLEARANCE THROUGH WESEL.

The 1698th Engineer Combat Battalion (less Companies A and C) was assigned the mission of clearing routes through Wesel. Bulldozers were ferried across the river on treadway rafts and the work was started on D+1. Delays were caused by enemy sniper fire but the work was completed prior to the opening of the Wesel bridges.

54. 1146th ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP.

a. MISSION.

This group was assigned the mission of constructing the two-way Class 40, one-way Class 70 fixed bridge over the Rhine at Wesel.

b. ORGANIZATION.

Composition of the group for the operation was as follows :

- Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 1146th Engineer Combat Group
- 250th Engineer Combat Battalion
- 252d Engineer Combat Battalion
- 1256th Engineer Combat Battalion
- Naval CB Maintenance Unit No. 629
- 1st Platoon, 625th Engineer Light Equipment Company (after D+3)
- 2d Platoon, 1355th Engineer Dump Truck Company (after D+3)
- Detachment, 1053d Engineer Port Construction and Repair Group (ADSEC)
- Detachment, 1058th Engineer Port Construction and Repair Group (ADSEC)
- Detachment, 655th Engineer Topographic Battalion.

c. INTELLIGENCE AND SELECTION OF SITE.

Upon assignment of the mission to the group on 8 March all available intelligence including aerial photographs and data on stream characteristics was turned over to the group by the Army Engineer. Study of this intelligence indicated the desirability of locating the bridge in the vicinity of the demolished highway bridge to meet the existing road net, even though it entailed bridging both the Rhine and Lippe Rivers, whereas a site below the confluence of the rivers could have been bridged with a single structure. To avoid the scour that might be caused by channeling of the current through the demolished bridge, a site upstream was tentatively selected.

d. DESIGN.

A preliminary bridge design developed by Army Engineer Headquarters in November 1944, upon which materials had been procured, was adopted as basis for preparation of a detailed design by the group. The preliminary and final plans for the structure are included in the report as Inclosures 6 and 7, respectively.

e. GROUND RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEY.

It was not possible to make a ground reconnaissance of the site until after the assault on Wesel by the British on 24 March, at which time the group was directed to start moving equipment and material to the site.



RHINE RIVER FIXED BRIDGE UNDER CONSTRUCTION.
NOTE DEMOLISHED HIGHWAY BRIDGE IN BACKGROUND

While movement of materials was being initiated a site was selected by ground reconnaissance, and a centerline was established 75 yards upstream of the demolished highway bridge.

f. CHARACTERISTICS OF BRIDGE SITES.

The sites selected possessed the following dominant characteristics :

	Rhine River	Lippe River
Wet gap	1,036 feet	120 feet
Water depth (maximum)	31 feet	12 feet
Mean current velocity	6 feet per second	6 feet per second
River bottom	Sand and Clay	Sand and Clay
Length of bridge required	1,814 feet	411 feet
Length of approach roads required	945 feet	1,088 feet
Earth to be moved for approach roads	19,500 cubic yards	7,500 cubic yards

g. ROUTE FOR HAULING MATERIALS.

The forward bridge dump had been established at Geldern, on the Venlo-Wesel highway. Extensive use of the route for movement of tactical units to the Wesel and Wallach floating bridges, and for movement of construction equipment and materials to a railroad bridge project under construction at Wesel by ADSEC, caused considerable delay in equipment and materials reaching the site.

h. SPECIAL EQUIPMENT.


The magnitude of the project required augmentation of the normal T/O & E equipment of the constructing combat units. To accomplish this, Army Engineer Headquarters had procured certain major items of equipment by requisition while other items accompanied the two detachments from engineer port construction and repair groups. The major items of equipment obtained were heavy steam pile driving rigs, NL pontoons, extra cranes, air compressors, welding and cutting sets, and generators.



SKID MOUNTED RIG WITH 66-FOOT LEADS AND VULCAN STEAM HAMMER
MOUNTED ON NL PONTOON BARGE

i. PRELIMINARY WORK.

No appreciable amount of equipment or material was on the site until 28 March, at which time pile driving rigs, 4 × 12 NL pontoon barges and Seamules were in the process of being assembled. Three NL pontoon barges were constructed, two of which were used to mount skid-rig pile drivers and the third as a work barge. By this time a profile of the river bottom had been obtained to permit completion of detailed bridge plans. The demolition of Fort Blucher, on the west bank of the Rhine and immediately adjacent to the site, was started on this date to provide rubble for approach road construction. Test piles were driven on 29 March and results indicated that neither jetting nor the use of pile shoes was necessary.



FORT BLUCHER BEING DEMOLISHED TO OBTAIN RUBBLE FOR WEST APPROACH TO RHINE RIVER FIXED BRIDGE

j. Assignment of Tasks.

The 250th Engineer Combat Battalion, with the detachment from 1053rd Port Construction and Repair Group attached, was assigned the construction of the west approach and the westerly half (approximately) of the Rhine River bridge, and operation of the group bridge park. The 252d Engineer Combat Battalion, with the detachment from 1058th Engineer Port Construction and Repair Group attached, was assigned the construction of the easterly half of the Rhine River bridge and the approach fill between the Rhine and the Lippe Rivers. The 1256th Engineer Combat Battalion was assigned the construction of the Lippe River bridge

and the east approach road. The Naval detachment was to assemble the NL pontoons and assist in rafting operations. The detachment of the 655th Engineer Topographic Battalion was to perform necessary survey work for the project. Other units of the group, and Class IV equipment, were made available by group to the three battalions as required.

k. APPROACHES.

19,500 cubic yards of fill were required on the west approach through the Fort Blucher area. Rubble obtained from the demolished fort was utilized for constructing this embankment. Material for the construction



FILL NEARING COMPLETION — WEST APPROACH, RHINE RIVER FIXED BRIDGE



COMPLETED WEST APPROACH RHINE RIVER FIXED BRIDGE

of the connecting road between the two bridges was obtained from the ruins of Wesel and by cannibalizing the old highway fill. The east approach to the Lippe bridge was also constructed from rubble available in the vicinity. Considerable mine clearance was required prior to the initiation of construction on the east approach road.

1. BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION.

A maximum of four pile driving rigs was operated at one time. As fast as barges upon which the rigs were floated could be released they were

utilized as work barges for the erection of trestle bents and the placing of stringers. Penetration of piling varied from 27 to 44 feet and bearing capacity was over the design figure of 25 tons per pile. Obtaining sufficient piling of the required length and diameter at the time needed due to delays in rail shipments presented the only critical supply difficulty. At times pile driving was suspended for this reason. By 12 April the 926 piles required for the bridge proper had been driven. Driving of fender and dolphin piling was completed on 18 April. During the pile driving operations the capping, seating of bents and stringers,



CONNECTING ROAD BETWEEN RHINE AND LIPPE BRIDGES NEARING COMPLETION

and decking followed closely behind the driving. The construction of approach roads was completed well in advance of the completion of the bridge.

The shorter bridge over the Lippe was keeping pace, and both structures were opened to traffic at 1430 on 18 April. The completion of fenders, disassembly of equipment and policing of the site occupied portions of the units involved until 29 April.

Construction proceeded on a 24-hour basis and no time was lost by enemy action. Work was slowed down but not stopped by incle-



CRANE MOUNTED ON NL PONTON BARGE PLACING PREFABRICATED BENT ON PILING



DRIVING PILES FOR THE RHINE RIVER BRIDGE

ment weather. The maximum number of men directly engaged on the structures and approach road construction at any given time was 303, with two of the battalions using three eight-hour shifts and one battalion using two twelve-hour shifts. A Quartermaster bath unit operated at the site contributed to improvement of working conditions.

More detailed data on the construction of the bridges are available in a report, "Rhine and Lippe Bridge Construction", published by the 1146th Engineer Combat Group in April 1945.



COMPLETED SEMIPERMANENT BRIDGE OVER RHINE RIVER AT WESEL


TRAFFIC

55. TRAFFIC OVER TACTICAL BRIDGES.

Due to rapid expansion of the bridgehead east of the Rhine, heavy traffic crossed over all tactical bridges from the time that they were opened until about D + 17 (9 April). By 10 April the major portion of the Army's combat elements had been crossed and traffic began to decline. As will be noted by reference to Inclosure 15, showing the daily traffic count over each bridge, the Wesel bridges carried by far the heaviest traffic load.

This can be attributed to the fact that they were served by the most favorable road net. Engineer personnel and military police were stationed on the approaches and at intervals on all bridges to expedite traffic. Maximum hourly traffic counts, in vehicles, on the Wesel bridges were: Bailey 573, 25-ton ponton 523, and treadway 427.

Crossing M26 tanks (Class 40) presented a problem because the unmodified floating Bailey and treadway bridges would not accommodate their width and the maximum load permitted by the design used for the 25-ton ponton bridges was Class 36. They were successfully crossed individually on the 25-ton ponton bridge, however, at reduced speed. While the



COMPLETED BRIDGE OVER LIPPE RIVER

freeboard on the pontons was reduced to a minimum of four inches under the weight of the tank, a few cracked balk constituted the only damage to the bridge.

As bridges were no longer required they were removed and the equipage transported to forward Army Engineer depots, or shipped to Communications Zone depots in the rear.

CASUALTIES

56. ENGINEER BATTLE CASUALTIES.

There were 31,120 non-divisional engineer troops with Ninth Army during the crossing. Of these approximately 19,000 were engaged in tasks directly connected with the operation. Non-divisional engineer battle casualties during the week of the crossing, 22 to 28 March, totaled 104.


SECTION VII

CAMOUFLAGE

57. LINTFORT BRIDGE PARK.

The Lintfort bridge park, operated by the 37th Engineer Combat Battalion, was the largest of the five bridge parks established by the Army Engineer, and contained all assault craft and bridging materials necessary for the XVI Corps operation. (See Inclosure 11). In camouflaging the depot, located in a large coal mine railroad yard, 400 garnished fish nets, 60 shrimp nets, and 50 rolls of garnished chicken wire were used. In some locations existing supplies were removed as new material was brought in so as to cause little change in the total quantity of material in the area. All equipment was sited to conform to normal usage of

the area and was immediately covered to conceal its identity. During the 10 days preceding the assault crossing, 18 enemy aircraft were reported over the area during periods favorable for observation, but no attacks were made and no enemy patrols were detected in the vicinity.



25-TON PONTONS COVERED WITH SHRIMP NETS AT LINTFORT



LINTFORT BRIDGE PARK.
NOTE DIFFERENT TYPE NETS USED

58. 1142d ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK.

The bridge park for Bailey Bridge No. 1, operated by the 172d Engineer Combat Battalion, included three separate sites; a sports park, a railroad terminus, and a former tar plant. (See Inclosure 11). For camouflaging, 260 garnished fish nets, 100 shrimp nets, 100 rolls of garnished chicken

wire, 370 rolls of coir matting, and 100 bolts of duck were used. Larger items, such as pontoons, panels, chess, tread lumber, and Seamules were stacked in the sports park, spaced along a road. Small Bailey bridge parts were stored at the railroad terminus dispersed throughout the wrecked railway installation. Cotton duck darkened with coal dust to blend with the surroundings was placed over the material.



BRIDGING MATERIALS STACKED ALONG EACH SIDE OF ROAD
AND CAMOUFLAGED 1142d ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP
BRIDGE PARK

Enemy aircraft were present over the area on 19 and 20 March but the park was not attacked.

59. 1143d ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK.

The bridge park for Bailey Bridge No. 2, operated by the 336th Engineer Combat Battalion, was established in a railroad yard. (See Inclosure 11). To camouflage the equipment, 200 shrimp nets were used.



SMALL BRIDGE PARTS COVERED WITH COTTON DUCK, 1142D ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK

One unidentified plane flew over the area. The park was not attacked.

60. 1117th ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK.

This bridge park was established in a gravel pit and along a roadway situated in a cut. (See Inclosure 11). To camouflage the park, 560 rolls of coir matting, 75 rolls of garnished chicken wire and 100 garnished fish



SHRIMP NETS PROPPED WITH POLES USED TO COVER RIVER CROSSING MATERIALS, 1143D ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK

nets were used. Broom handles from a German warehouse were used as props and to stake the nets to the ground. The stockpiles of lumber, Bailey bridge parts, treadway and heavy ponton parts were located in an open area and their identity concealed by draping them with camouflage material. Five parallel service roads were staked and all truck traffic

was required to remain on these roads. Strict traffic control was maintained from three regulating points.

Company C of the 1698th Engineer Combat Battalion, which was given the mission of maintaining and operating the bridge park, employed two squads on each 12-hour shift for camouflage work. One squad covered stockpiles immediately after the trucks were unloaded, and the other completed camouflage as soon as stockpiles were finished. An officer was responsible for camouflage on each shift.


Enemy aircraft were over the park on the nights of 18 and 19 March but no bombs were dropped and no strafing attempted.



BRIDGING MATERIALS BEING CAMOUFLAGED, 1117TH ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK

61. 1146th ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK.


This bridge park, operated by the 250th Engineer Combat Battalion, was located in the cinder paved yard of a German barracks. (See Inclo-



BAILEY PONTOONS BEING COVERED WITH COIR MATTING, 1117TH ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK

sure 11). 300 garnished nets, 100 rolls of garnished chicken wire and 10 rolls of tar paper were used over the piles of lumber and equipment to conceal their identity since complete concealment was virtually impossible. During the first few days materials arrived faster than camouflage could be applied. However, as this park had formerly been a British

Ordnance motor pool, the activity was not unusual. Only one unidentified plane was reported over the park and no attacks were made.



1146TH ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP BRIDGE PARK

62. OPERATION "EXPLOIT".

Concurrently with preparations for the Rhine River crossing, operation « Exploit » was conducted by XIII Corps. This operation consisted of a buildup in the Uerdingen bend area (See Inclosure 1) to indicate preparation for an assault crossing at that location on D+7 in equal strength to that planned for XVI Corps' crossing to the north. Reconnaissance of the Rhine in the Uerdingen bend area was conducted in force by infantry and cavalry units, assisted by engineers of the 102d Division and of the 1141st Engineer Combat Group. Actual ferrying and crossing sites were selected and road construction on the approaches to the sites was conducted at night with bulldozers and tank dozers, making as much noise as possible. Reconnaissance included a survey of available civilian river barges and preliminary preparations were made for converting the barges for use as pontoons. All civilians were evacuated from a large area adjoining the bend to a distance of 5 or 6 kilometers from the river.

A decoy bridge park was established in a wooded area southwest of Krefeld by units of the 1141st Engineer Combat Group, Company D of the 604th Engineer Camouflage Battalion, and Company C of the 84th Engineer Camouflage Battalion. The installation consisted of 60 stacks of simulated bridging equipment, 65 dummy assault boats, 100 decoy 25-ton pontoons, eight decoy LVTs, one decoy LCM and eight dummy Brockway trucks. Thirty-five vehicles with assault boats and bridge equipment, 28 Brockway trucks loaded with treadway bridging, one bulldozer and 200 rolls of Sommerfeld track were used for the operation. Three cranes were used in the park. Stacks of dummy bridging consisted



DECOY STACK OF SIMULATED BRIDGING MATERIALS.
NOTE DUMMY ASSAULT BOAT IN BACKGROUND

of simple wooden frames covered with nets, with little attempt to prop the nets away from the simulated bridging. Decoy assault boats and pontons were constructed of wood and burlap painted olive drab and were left uncovered. A work shop for constructing decoys was located in Krefeld, and its activities were carefully concealed. Each day real equipment was trucked to the park in convoy, unloaded and stacked in dispersed piles. Trucks were so sited as to be readily visible by civilians passing by the main road. During darkness this same equipment was removed from the park by infiltration and decoys were erected where the piles had been.

On the night of D + 2 the area was strafed by enemy aircraft. The success of Operation "Exploit" was indicated by the number of German forces



TRUCKS WAITING TO BE UNLOADED AT DUMMY BRIDGE PARK

deployed in the area opposite Uerdingen and eventually captured there, while at the actual crossing sites resistance was much lighter than had been anticipated.

63. SMOKE.

Smoke to screen construction of bridges on the Rhine was provided by the 74th and 83d Chemical Smoke Generator Companies. These two companies were attached to Headquarters and Headquarters Detachment of the 27th Chemical Smoke Generator Battalion, which was operating under direction of XVI Corps.

Past experience with smoke had taught that many troops, particularly those who are physically exhausted, become nauseated by HC smoke, generated from chemical smoke pots. Because of this, the majority of the smoke used was mechanically generated from fog oil. Chemical smokepots were used only in screening the emission of smoke from the mechanical generators, and to supplement available meteorological data as to wind velocity and direction.

On D-day smoke was generated from 0500 until 0830 and again from 1130 till dark. On D + 1 smoke was generated from before daylight until after dark. On D + 2 the two companies were relieved of attachment to the battalion and were attached to the 1148th and 1153d Engineer Combat Groups. Smoke was generated throughout the day. On D + 3 and D + 4 smoke was generated for two hours in the early morning and again for two hours just prior to dark. It was unnecessary to continue the use of smoke after that time.

SECTION VIII

CONCLUSIONS

64. CONCLUSIONS.

No revisions in present doctrines regarding river crossing operations are indicated by the Rhine crossing.

To husband their strength for operations on the far side of the river, the engineers of assault divisions should be carried across like infantry, by the corps engineers. At the Rhine, adequate engineer support was available to the infantry to permit a rapid advance east of the river, despite numerous road blocks and demolished structures.

Flexibility and coordination are enhanced by placing the corps engineers in direct support of assault divisions instead of attaching them thereto.

The use of LCVPs, LVTs and DUKWs aids materially in effecting a rapid buildup in a river crossing under conditions similar to those encountered in Ninth Army's crossing of the Rhine.

In a crossing against light opposition where early completion of bridges is feasible, the value of LCMs does not warrant the effort involved in getting them into the river.

Need for a lighter craft or suitable attachable propulsion unit for the operation of ferries is emphasized by the difficulties experienced in trans-

porting and launching Seamules. The new twin-screw 25-foot power utility-boat may meet these requirements, but it was not available for the operation.

Careful traffic planning and control is essential to the success of an operation of the magnitude of the Rhine crossing.

Issuance of technical instructions covering, and training of all engineer combat units in, boom construction are required.

The enemy can be deceived as to time and location of proposed deliberate river crossings by careful attention to camouflage accompanied by a thorough demonstration along a portion of the front where crossings are not to be made.

Ferries operated immediately upstream from floating bridges constitute a serious hazard to the bridges. Downstream sites should be selected even though the development of landings and approach and exit roads requires considerably greater effort.

COPY

NINTH US ARMY
APO 339
19 February 1945

SUBJECT: Letter of Instructions Number Fourteen.

TO : Commanding General, XVI Corps.

PLANNING DIRECTIVE

1. For enemy situation see current G-2 estimates and reports.
2. FIRST US ARMY, on the left flank of TWELFTH ARMY GROUP, will eliminate enemy resistance west of the RHINE south of NEUSS (exclusive) to at least COLOGNE. It will then contain the enemy east of the RHINE and will be prepared to force crossings to be determined at a later date.
3. FIRST CANADIAN ARMY will complete Operation "VERTICAL", clearing the area between the MAAS and the RHINE as far south as the general line XANTEN (A1141) — GELDERN (A0225). It will then dispose its forces for a possible crossing in the EMMERICH (E9760) area, and force a crossing in this area if ground reconnaissance determines that such a crossing is feasible. Target date: 31 March.
4. SECOND BRITISH ARMY will take over a portion of the area cleared by the FIRST CANADIAN ARMY, will operate to extend its zone southward, and will force crossings of the RHINE in the REES (A0752) and XANTEN areas. Target date: 31 March.
5. NINTH US ARMY will complete Operation "GRENADE". It will then contain the enemy east of the RHINE from NEUSS (inclusive) north to junction with SECOND BRITISH ARMY and will force a crossing of the RHINE in the RHEINBERG (A2128) area. Target date: 31 March.

6. Boundaries (assumed for planning purposes only):
 - a. Between TWENTY-ONE ARMY GROUP AND TWELFTH ARMY GROUP: As at present.
 - b. Between NINTH US ARMY and SECOND BRITISH ARMY: Road VENLO (E9109) — GELDERN — FT BLUCHER (A2138) (all inclusive NINTH US ARMY) — then along the line of the LIPPE River (exclusive NINTH US ARMY). (Running rights on road VENLO-GELDERN to SECOND BRITISH ARMY).
 7. XXIX TACTICAL AIR COMMAND will operate in cooperation with NINTH US ARMY. Medium and heavy bomber effort is anticipated for isolation of the battle area.
 8. An airborne effort of one CORPS of three divisions will support the operation of SECOND BRITISH ARMY. The area of employment is to be determined. It will probably be employed in the vicinity of WESEL.
 9. XVI Corps will complete plans for crossing the RHINE in the RHEINBERG Area to assist in securing the WESEL (A2240) bridgehead. The Corps to execute the operation will be designated at a later date.
- TROOPS: Two infantry divisions
One armored division
Supporting troops
- BOUNDARY: Between XVI Corps and Corps on its right flank: KEMPEN (A0808) — MORS (A2317) — bridge at (A295180) (all inclusive XVI Corps) — canal bend at (A372222) — then along REINE — HERNE Canal to HOCHLARMARK (A6229).


MISSION:

- a. Force a crossing of the RHINE Vic of RHEINBERG and establish initial bridgehead.

INCLOSURE No. 2

INCLOSURE I
TO
NINTH ARMY ENGINEER OPERATIONS
IN RHINE RIVER CROSSING
**NINTH ARMY
ZONE OF ACTION**

SCALE 1:500,000



- b. Expand bridgehead to the general line WALSUM (A2826) — (A4030) — KIRCHELLEN (A4334) — (A4440).
- c. Assist in the reduction of WESEL by supporting fires and by seizing the routes leading into WESEL from the south and southeast. Be prepared to seize intact any crossing over the LIPPE River and Canal.

10. The following special equipment is available to the crossing Corps:

- | | |
|--|-----|
| a. Searchlights (Artificial moonlight) | 12 |
| b. DD Tanks (Plus 8 spares; these 23 are manned by one US company. Three British squadrons, approximately 45 DD tanks, have been requested). | 15 |
| c. LVT (2) or (4) | 100 |
| d. DUKWs | 100 |
| e. CDLs | 15 |
| f. Weasels (M29C: 200; balance M29; 100) | 300 |
| g. Bren Carriers | 40 |

For engineer means, see Engineer Annex. Requests for additional special equipment submitted by XVI Corps will receive consideration by this headquarters.

11. Corps plans will include the composition of the assault waves, types of craft to be used; loading, formation for crossing, and H-hour.

12. The following code names will be used:

- a. PLUNDER: The entire operation of crossing the RHINE River north of the RUHR.
- b. FLASHPOINT: The US crossing in the RHEINBERG area.
- c. TORCHLIGHT: The British crossing in the XANTEN area.
- d. TURNSCREW: The British crossing in the REES area.
- e. VAMPIRE: The Canadian crossing in the EMMERICH area.
- f. VARSITY: Airborne operation in support of RHINE crossing north of RUHR.
- g. VENTILATE: The BRITISH SECOND ARMY operation to cross the MAAS River.
- h. PULLTHROUGH: WESEL.

13. A proposed Corps troop list will be included in plans.

14. Direct communication with XII British Corps for planning purposes is authorized.

15. Completed Corps plans will be submitted to Commanding General, NINTH US ARMY by 10 March 1945.

By command of Lieutenant General SIMPSON:

J. E. MOORE,
Brigadier General, General Staff Corps,
Chief of Staff.

OFFICIAL:

MEAD

G-3

INCLOSURE:

Annex 1 - Engr.

DISTR:

CG

C/S

G-3

G-3 Opns

G-3 Air

G-1

G-2

G-4

G-5

AAA

Arty

Armd

CmL

Engr

Copy No.

1

2

3

4 - 5

6

7

8

9 - 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

INCLOSURE No. 2

Med	17
Ord	18
P & PW	19
PM	20
QM	21
Sig	22
AGTS	23
21 Army Group (Main)	24
21 Army Group (TAC)	25
XXIX TAC	26 - 27

XIII Corps	28 - 29
XVI Corps	30 - 31
XIX Corps	32 - 33
First US Army	34
First Canadian Army	35
Second British Army	36
Twelfth Army Group (Main) (Attn G-3)	37 - 39
Twelfth Army Group (TAC) (Attn G-3)	40 - 41
Twelfth Army Group (TAC) (Attn G-4)	42
Spares	43 - 47

COPY

HQ NINTH US ARMY
APO 339
19 February 1945

Annex No. 1 to Letter of Instructions No. 14. (Planning Directive)

ENGINEER

1. *Purpose:* To supplement Ninth Army Letter of Instructions No. 14 dated 19 February 1945, and to provide detailed information required for Engineer planning, by XVI Corps, in connection with proposed RHINE River crossing.

2. *References:*

- a. Annex A, Routes, 1: 100,000.
- b. Annex B, RHEINBERG area, 1: 12,500.

3. *Maps:* The following maps and map substitutes are available for limited distribution for planning and will be available in operational quantities for the operation:

GSGS 2758	1 : 1,000,000
GSGS 4072	1 : 500,000 (Air)
GSGS 4346	1 : 250,000
GSGS 4042	1 : 250,000
GSGS 4416	1 : 100,000
GSGS 4507	1 : 50,000
GSGS 4414	1 : 25,000
GSGS 4478	1 : 500,000 (Road Map)
Emergency Road Map	1 : 250,000
Photo maps — RHINE River	1 : 12,500
GSGS 4517	1 : 12,500
Town Plan	WESEL
Town Plan	FRIEDRICHSFELD
Town Plan	GELDERN

4. *Other Engineer Intelligence:*

- a. Ninth Army studies distributed to Corps and Division Engineers:
 - (1) Engineer Technical Notes No. 3 — 12 January 1945.
 - (2) Military Geography No. 10 — 25 December 1944.
 - (3) Going maps, sheets 4204, 4205, 4304, 4305, 4405, 4406 — 11 February 1945.
- b. Aerial photography furnished Corps Engineers:
 - (1) Obliques — sorties 16/1124, 16/1125, 16/1126, 5 Sep 44.
 - (2) Verticals — sorties 16/1378, 19 Nov 1944; 400/1521, 19 Nov 1944.
- c. Additional intelligence is constantly being secured and will be distributed as received.

5. *Models:*

- a. Area of interest, 1: 12,500, has been made available to Engineer, XVI Corps.
- b. A limited number of additional models of this and adjacent areas, at scales of 1: 12,500 and 1: 15,000, are expected to be available at an early date.

6. *Equipment:* In addition to Class II equipment available as TE in units attached or assigned to the crossing Corps, the following items of river crossing equipment are expected to be available from Army:

a. Major items of Class IV Engineer equipment:

22 HP outboard motors	200 ea
Storm boats	150 ea
55 HP outboard motors	150 ea
25 ton pontons	200 ea

INCLOSURE No. 2

Power utility boats	20 ea
Class 40 Bailey rafts	15 ea
M1 Treadway Bridge (modified)	3 units
M2 Treadway Bridge (modified)	4 units +
Anchors, 150 lb	500 ea
Anchors, 500 lb	12 ea
25 ton ponton bridge	12 units
Pierced plank landing mat	100,000 sq ft
Sommerfeld trackway	300 rolls
Chespaling	2,500 rolls
Life jackets	10,000 ea
55-gal drums w/conical ends	300 ea
300 gal floats	30 ea
5/8" chain	600 ft
Wire rope, 1-1/2"	3,500 ft
Wire rope, 1"	18,000 ft
Wire rope, 3/4"	7,500 ft
Wire rope, 5/8"	15,000 ft
Wire rope, 1/2"	6,000 ft
Wire rope, 3/8"	15,000 ft

+ Part or all to be used to re-equip Treadway Bridge Companies.

b. Non-Engineer items to be supplied through Engineer channels:

LCM-3 + (Complete with Naval operating and maintenance personnel)	12 ea
LCVP + (Complete with Naval operating and maintenance personnel)	12 ea
British Admiralty netting	1200 lin yds
Seamules	15 ea
Flashing surelites (Signal Corps)	90 ea

+ Data on characteristics and capabilities available at Engineer Headquarters, Ninth US Army; to be distributed at an early date in Engineer channels.

7. *Supply*: In addition to the Engineer Depots supporting Corps in the normal manner, it is planned that a special forward dump will be established by Army in the area of the crossing Corps. This dump will be stocked with bridging and ferrying equipage and other items of Engineer supply required for the river crossing.

8. *Traffic*: Corps plans should make provision for use of Route 58, and extension to WALLACH, from D + 2, by 250 Army vehicles per day, for hauling materials and equipment in connection with construction and maintenance by Army of its booms, bridges, and approaches (See Annex A).

9. *Corps bridges*:

- Bridges constructed by Corps across the RHINE River will be left intact for Army unless otherwise directed by Army.
- Corps Treadway Bridge Companies, whose bridging equipage is utilized in constructing the bridges referred to in subparagraph a, will be re-equipped by Army to support the advance of the Corps east of the RHINE.
- Annex B shows area reserved for the Army bridges, across the RHINE.
- Reserved area may be used by Corps for activities, other than bridge construction, until D + 2.

10. *Army bridges*: Class 40 Bailey ponton bridges, RHEINBERG (See Annex B) — Begin D + 2, complete D + 7.

11. *Plans*.

- Corps plan submitted in accordance with the letter of instructions, to which this is an Annex, will be accompanied by an Engineer plan, giving detailed information to support:

INCLOSURE No. 2

- (1) Engineer troop requirements.
 - (2) Engineer Class IV Supply requirements.
 - (3) Requirements for non-engineer equipment, to be supplied through Engineer channels.
- b. Engineer plan will indicate proposed location and time of construction of all bridges and booms across the RHINE River except Army bridges and booms.

By command of Lieutenant General SIMPSON:

/t/ J. E. MOORE
Brigadier General, General Staff Corps
Chief of Staff

Annexes:

- A — Routes
- B — RHEINBERG vicinity

OFFICIAL:


MEAD


G-3

DISTRIBUTION: Same as Ltr of Instr No. 14

INCLOSURE No. 2


INCLOSURE 2 (ANNEX A OF ANNEX No.



SCALE 1:100,000
LEGEND  AUTOBAHN


ROUTES
ANNEX "A" TO ENGR. ANNEX
19 FEB, 1945

ENGINEER HEADQUARTERS
NINTH U.S. ARMY



INCLOSURE 3

INCLOSURE



COPY

HEADQUARTERS NINTH US ARMY

Office of the Engineer

APO 339

25 February 1945

353-GNMEN

SUBJECT: Preparation for Rhine River Crossing.

TO : Distribution.

I. PURPOSE.

1. To allot major tasks to Army Engr C Gps in connection with crossing of the RHINE River by Ninth Army.
2. To prescribe planning and training by these groups for the tasks allotted.

II. ENGINEER INTELLIGENCE.

1. *Maps.* The following maps and map substitutes are available for limited distribution for planning and will be available in operational quantities for the operation:

GSGS 2758	1 : 1,000,000
GSGS 4072	1 : 500,000 (Air)
GSGS 4346	1 : 250,000
GSGS 4042	1 : 250,000
GSGS 4416	1 : 100,000
GSGS 4507	1 : 50,000
GSGS 4414	1 : 25,000
GSGS 4478	1 : 500,000 (Road Map)
Emergency Road Map	1 : 250,000
Photo Maps, RHINE River	1 : 12,500
GSGS 4517	1 : 12,500
Town Plan	WESEL
Town Plan	FRIEDRICHSFELD
Town Plan	GELDERN

2. *Ninth Army Engineer Studies.*

- a. Technical Notes No. 3, 12 January 1945.
- b. Military Geography No. 10, 25 December 1944.
- c. Going Maps, sheets, 4204, 4205, 4304, 4305, 4405, 4406, 11 February 1945.
- d. Detailed Approach—Exit Study, Rhine Crossings, scale 1: 12,500. (In course of preparation).

3. *Aerial Photography.*

- a. Obliques — Sorties 16/1124, 16/1125, 16/1126, 5 September 1944.
- b. Verticals — Sorties 16/1378, 400/1521, 19 November 1944.

4. *Models o Area of Interest.*

Model	Copies	Locations
1 : 12,500 (U.S.)	1	XVI Corps
	2	Engr Hq, Ninth Army
	1	Expected to arrive at Engr Hq, Ninth Army in the near future.
1 : 15,000 (British)	1	XVI Corps
	1	G-2, Ninth Army

III. GENERAL PLAN.

1. *Time.* Target date for the crossing: (To be announced)
2. *Boundaries.* (Assumed for planning purposes only.)
 - a. Between TWENTY-ONE ARMY GROUP AND TWELFTH ARMY GROUP: As at present.
 - b. Between NINTH US ARMY and SECOND BRITISH ARMY: Road VENLO (Eg109) — GELDERN — FT BLUCHER (A2138) (All inclusive NINTH US ARMY). — then along the line of the LIPPE River (Exclusive NINTH US ARMY). (Running rights on road VENLO-GELDERN to SECOND BRITISH ARMY.)
3. *Tactical Plan.*
 - a. An assault crossing will be made in the RHEINBERG (A2128) area by one Corps.

INCLOSURE No. 4

- b. When enemy opposition has been eliminated in the WESEL (A2240) area, the boundary will be shifted to include that locality in the NINTH ARMY zone of action. Crossings will then be provided in that vicinity by Army Engineer troops.

4. *Crossing Sites.* In addition to such ferries and tactical bridges as are installed by the Corps making the RHEINBERG crossing, the following crossing means will be established by Army Engineer troops :

<i>Crossing Means</i>	<i>Location</i>
Floating Bailey Bridge No. 1 (Class 40)	Between (A208350) and (A211330) if intelligence indicates that road net will support <i>two</i> lanes of traffic; otherwise, between (A211330) and (A268312).
Floating Bailey Bridge No. 2 (Class 40)	Between (A208350) and (A211330)
Floating Bailey Bridge No. 3 (Class 40)	+ Between (A211402) and (A215382)
Rhino Ferry (Class 70)	+ » » » »
Two Treadway Bridges	+ » » » »
Pile Bridge (One-way Class 70, two-way Class 40)	» » » »
+ Pile bridge will receive first priority in selection of site.	

5. For specifications on above bridges, see Inclosure 1, hereto.

6. *Booms.* Booms will be installed, to the extent not provided by Corps, for the protection of above bridges against the following :

<i>Upstream</i>	<i>Downstream</i>
Debris	Submarines
Gamma-Swimmers	Surface Craft
Floating Mines	
Surface Craft	
Submarines	

IV. ASSIGNMENT OF PROJECTS.

1. 1142 Engr C Gp, at the RHEINBERG crossing site, will :
 - a. At a time to be agreed upon between Army and Corps take over and maintain booms, ferries, and tactical bridges that have been intalled by Corps.
 - b. Construct Floating Bailey Bridge No. 1 and any necessary upstream protective booms not already installed by Corps.
2. 1143 Engr C Gp, at the RHEINBERG crossing site will construct Floating Bailey Bridge No. 2, and install any downstream booms that might be necessary for protection thereof.
3. 1117 Engr C Gp, at the WESEL crossing site, will :
 - a. Construct and operate one (1) Rhine Ferry (Class 70).
 - b. Construct two (2) Treadway Bridges.
 - c. Construct Floating Bailey Bridge No. 3.
 - d. Install any necessary anti-mine and anti-debris upstream booms on the RHINE and all necessary protective booms on the LIPPE River and LIPPE-SEITEN Canal.
4. 1147 Engr C Gp, at the WESEL crossing site, will construct the Pile Bridge.
5. 1146 Engr C Gp will be prepared to :
 - a. Transport materials to and establish a forward supply depot for the Corps which is to make the crossing at RHEINBERG.
 - b. Furnish one combat battalion to operate the above depot under the Army Engineer.
 - c. Operate attached to the Corps which is to make crossing at RHEINBERG.

V. SUPPLY.

1. Each Group assigned a mission under Section IV, above, except the 1146 Engr C Gp, will draw all necessary material from Engineer Depot No. 4, MAASTRICHT, transport to a forward site, and there operate an individual bridge park for its project.

INCLOSURE No 4

2. The 1146 Engr C Gp will draw from Engineer Depot No. 4 all materials required by Corps for its RHEINBERG crossing, and will transport it to a forward site, where one combat battalion, provided by this Group, will operate an Army Bridge Park.

VI. TRAINING.

1. Beginning at once, each Group will conduct training to :
 - a. Insure that each is capable of executing its mission as assigned in IV above.
 - b. Prepare sufficient personnel to operate its bridge park.
2. Request will be made to this Headquarters for training equipment, allocation of sites, and quotas to special schools for training as directed in 1 a, above, if beyond the capabilities of the Group concerned.
3. To assist the Groups to train depot personnel, the 1961 Engr Aviation Depot Co. will, upon request to the Army Engineer, conduct on-the-job training in depot operations. Personnel to operate a forward bridge park, on a 24-hour schedule, should include the following minimum:
 - a. 80 crane operators (2 for each of 20 cranes per 12-hour shift).
 - b. 10 dozer operators (1 for each of 5 dozers per 12-hour shift.)
 - c. 160 riggers (4 per crane per 12-hour shift)
 - d. 80 checkers (40 per 12-hour shift)
 - e. 10 maintenance men (1 maintenance crew of 5 men per 12-hour shift)
 - f. 200 laborers, for hand loading and traffic control.

VII. PLANS AND DESIGNS.

1. At the earliest practicable date, each Group assigned a mission in IV above, will submit a complete Engineer Plan to include :
 - a. Recommended crossing site or sites.
 - b. Detailed plan and bill of materials and equipage for all booms, ferries, and bridges, with construction time estimates.
 - c. Troop requirements.
 - d. List of equipment required, including transportation.

- e. Recommended location and layout of bridge park.
 - f. Schedule of traffic movements from bridge park to site.
- By command of Lieutenant General SIMPSON :

/s/ /t/ RICHARD U. NICHOLAS
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Engineer

1 Incl.

Bridge specifications.

DISTRIBUTION:

Copy 1 — 1117 Engr C Gp
Copy 2 — 1142 Engr C Gp
Copy 3 — 1143 Engr C Gp
Copy 4 — 1146 Engr C Gp
Copy 5 — 1147 Engr C Gp
Copy 6 — Engr, XVI Corps
Copy 7 — Engr, XIII Corps
Copy 8 — Engr, XIX Corps
Copy 9 — Hq Twenty-one Army Gp
Copy 10 — G-3, Ninth US Army
Copy 11 — G-4, Ninth US Army
Copy 12 — 1961 Engr Avn Depot Co
Copy 13 — AG Records, Ninth US Army
Copy 14 — Engr, Ninth US Army

PREPARATION FOR RHINE RIVER CROSSING

SPECIFICATIONS

1. Semi-permanent fixed bridge.
 - a. Roadway width: 22' clear.
 - b. Sidewalks: Both sides.
 - c. Navigation span:

INCLOSURE No 4

- (1) Clear width: 75'
- (2) Vertical clearance: See sub-paragraph d, below.
- d. Height: Bottom of stringers at a level corresponding to a reading of 9 M. on WESEL gauge.
- e. Materials:
 - (1) Steel beams, DIN 100, length 82', for navigation span only.
 - (2) Steel beams, DIE 40, length 42.5'
 - (3) 8" x 16" x 16' timber stringers.
 - (4) Timber piling in 40', 50', 60', and 70' lengths.
 - (5) H-beams, DIN 25, in 50', 60', and 70' lengths, for use as piling where required - limited supply.
- f. Class IV Equipment.

- (1) Skid-mounted, steam or compressed air operated piledriving rigs, with 66-foot leads.
 - (2) Navy "4 x 12" NL Pontoon barges.
 - (3) Seamules.
 - (4) Jetting sets.
- 2. Bailey Ponton Bridges.
 - a. Landing bays: 150' TD.
 - b. Landing bay piers: Class 70.
 - c. Bank-seat elevation: Such as to give landing bay a slope of 1:10 at a water level corresponding to the 10-year low for the month of April.
 - d. Sliding bays: Minimum of one per bridge.

Incl. 1

INCLOSURE No 4

COMPARISON OF PREDICTIONS AND OBSERVATIONS

KOLN

Gage No 57

DATE	Time	PREDICTIONS			OBSERVATIONS	
		48 Hours	24 Hours	12 Hours	Time	Stage in cm
March	11				0500	402
					1300	418
					2200	426
					0600	428
	12				1300	430
					2200	434
					0600	428
	13				1400	425
					2200	418
	14				0600	414
					1400	408
					0600	400
	15				1400	397
					2200	393
					0600	390
	16	1200	380	380	1400	387
					2400	370
	17	1200	370	370	2200	383
					0700	379
					1400	378
	18	1200	360	360	2200	374
					0600	362
					1400	372
	19	1200	350	350	2200	370
					0600	365
					1400	363
	20	1200	350	355	2200	360
					0600	359
					1400	357
	21	1200	340	350	2200	357
					0600	356
					1400	354

DATE	Time	PRÉDICTIONS			Observations	
		48 Hours	24 Hours	12 Hours	Time	Stage in cm
March	22	1200	340	340	1400	342
					0800	342
	23	1200	330	330	2200	340
					1400	337
					2200	335
	24	1200	320	320	0600	334
					1400	332
					2200	334
	25	1200	310	320	0600	330
					1400	328
					2200	328
	26	1200	310	320	0600	328
					1400	326
					2200	325
	27	1200	310	320	0600	322
					1400	322
					2200	320
	28	1200	320	320	0600	320
					1400	320
					2200	320
	29	1200	320	315	0600	318
					1400	318
					2200	320
	30	1200	330	330	0600	322
					1400	323
					2200	324
	31	1200	330	330	0600	325
					1400	328
					2200	328
April	1	1200	340	340	0600	340
					1400	343
					2200	347

INCLOSURE 5 (Sheet 1)

COMPARISON OF PREDICTIONS AND OBSERVATIONS

KOLN

Gage No 57

DATE	Time	PREDICTIONS			OBSERVATIONS	
		48 Hours	24 Hours	12 Hours	Time	Stage in cm
April 2	1200	350	350	350	1400	346
	2400	350	350	350	2300	350
3	1200	370	360	355	0600	350
	2400	360	360	355	1400	350
4	1200	370	360	365	2200	350
	2400	360	360	360	0600	358
5	1200	370	360	365	1400	359
	2400	360	360	360	2200	360
6	1200	350	360	360	0800	360
	2400	350	350	350	1400	356
7	1200	360	360	355	2200	356
	2400	360	360	360	1400	360
8	1200	370	370	370	2200	365
	2400	360	370	370	0700	372
9	1200	370	380	385	1600	370
	2400	370	370	375	2100	370
10	1200	370	370	375	0600	388
	2400	370	370	370	1600	375
11	1200	350	350	350	2400	364
	2400	350	350	350	0600	365
12	1200	350	350	355	1800	366
	2400	350	350	350	2200	366
	1200	350	350	355	0900	364
	2400	350	350	350	1600	360
	1200	350	350	355	2200	360
	2400	350	350	350	0600	360
	1200	350	350	355	1400	360
	2400	350	350	350	2200	355
	1200	350	350	355	1500	350
	2400	350	350	350	2400	344
	1200	350	350	355	0600	352
	2400	350	350	350	0600	340

Date	Time	Prédications			Observations	
		48 Hours	24 Hours	12 Hours	Time	Stage in cm
April 13	1200	340	340	340	1700	337
	2400	340	335	335	2200	335
14	1200	350	340	340	0600	332
	2400	340	340	340	1500	340
15	1200	340	340	340	2200	334
	2400	340	340	345	0600	332
16	1200	340	340	340	1400	334
	2400	340	340	340	2200	338
17	1200	330	330	330	0900	332
	2400	340	330	325	1400	330
18	1200	340	330	325	2200	336
	2400	340	330	325	0600	333
20	1200	340	330	325	1500	330
	2400	350	340	355	2400	336
21	1200	320	320	320	0900	333
	2400	320	320	320	1400	330
22	1200	330	330	330	2200	330
	2400	330	325	320	0600	324
23	1200	325	325	320	1500	322
	2400	325	325	320	2300	324
	1200	325	325	320	0700	322
	2400	325	325	320	1500	320
	1200	325	325	320	0700	318
	2400	325	325	320	1500	320
	1200	325	325	320	0700	319
	2400	325	325	320	0700	319
	1200	325	325	320	1500	320
	2400	325	325	320	1500	320

INCLOSURE 5 (Sheet 1)

COMPARISON OF PREDICTIONS AND OBSERVATIONS

HOMBERG

Gage No 75

DATE	Time	PREDICTIONS			OBSERVATIONS	
		48 Hours	24 Hours	12 Hours	Time	Stage in cm
March 8					1400	140
					2200	140.5
9					0600	140
					2200	145
10					0600	135
					0600	150
11					1400	160
					2000	170
					0600	175
12					1400	185
					2200	186
					0600	188
13	2400	180	185	185	1400	190
					2200	192
14	1200	180	180	180	0600	180
					1400	175
15	1200	170	160	155	0600	168
	2400	150	155	155	1400	160
					2200	160
16	1200	130	140	140	0600	150
	2400	130	130	135	1400	146
					2200	152
17	1200	120	130	130	0600	140
	2200	110	120	125	1400	140
					2200	140
18	1200	110	120	120	0600	140
	2400	110	110	115	1400	125
					2200	130
19	1200	110	110	115	0600	125
	2400	110	110	115	1400	120
					2200	120
					0600	115

DATE	Time	PREDICTIONS			OBSERVATIONS	
		48 Hours	24 Hours	12 Hours	Time	Stage in cm
March 20	1200	110	110	100	1400	115
	2400	100	110	110	2200	115
21					0600	100
	1200	100	100	105	1400	110
22	2400	90	100	105	2200	110
					0600	105
	1200	90	100	100	1400	105
23	2400	90	90	95	2200	105
					0600	105
	1200	80	90	90	1400	105
24	2400	80	90	90	2200	105
					0600	100
	1200	80	90	95	1400	100
25	2400	80	90	90	2200	100
					0600	100
	1200	80	90	90	1400	100
26	2400	80	90	90	2200	100
					0600	95
	1200	80	90	90	1400	95
27	2400	80	90	90	2200	94
					0600	93
	1200	90	90	90	1400	91
28	2400	80	90	90	2200	90
					0600	88
	1200	90	90	90	1400	68
29	2400	80	90	90	2200	65
					0600	63
	1200	50	50	50	1400	62
30	2400	60	60	60	2200	61
					0600	64
	1200	70	70	65	1400	64
31	2400	70	70	65	2200	64
					0600	64
	1200	70	70	65	1400	64
31	2400	70	70	65	2200	64
					0600	64

INCLOSURE 5 (Sheet 2)

COMPARISON OF PREDICTIONS AND OBSERVATIONS





HOMBERG

Gage No 75



DATE	Time	PREDICTIONS			OBSERVATIONS	
		48 Hours	24 Hours	12 Hours	Time	Stage in cm
April 1	1200	70	70	65	0600	64
	2400	65	70	70	1400	72
2	1200	80	70	70	2200	70
	2400	90	80	80	0600	75
3	1200	90	90	85	1400	78
	2400	90	90	90	2200	83
4	1200	100	100	95	0600	89
	2400	100	110	110	1400	92
5	1200	100	110	110	2200	91
	2400	110	110	105	0600	91
6	1200	110	100	100	1400	95
	2400	100	110	110	2200	100
7	1200	120	115	115	0800	112
	2400	120	120	115	1400	110
8	1200	120	130	135	2400	107
	2400	120	130	135	0800	108
9	1200	120	130	135	1400	110
	2400	120	120	120	2200	108
10	1200	130	130	120	0600	110
	2400	120	120	120	1600	117
11	1200	120	130	120	2200	122
	1200	120	130	120	0600	127

DATE	Time	PREDICTIONS			OBSERVATIONS	
		48 Hours	24 Hours	12 Hours	Time	Stage in cm
April 12	1200	130	110	105	1500	105
	2400	90	100	100	2300	110
13	1200	90	90	95	0900	95
	2400	90	90	85	1500	87
14	1200	90	90	85	0600	78
	2400	90	90	90	2200	87
15	1200	90	90	85	0900	84
	2400	90	90	90	1500	84
16	1200	90	90	85	2200	77
	2400	90	90	90	0900	75
17	1200	90	90	90	1700	74
	2400	80	80	80	2400	70
18	1200	90	90	80	0600	70
	2400	90	80	80	1400	75
19	1200	90	80	80	2200	74
	2400	100	90	85	0900	73
20	1200	90	80	85	1700	75
	2400	90	90	75	2100	73
21	1200	90	70	70	1200	70
	2400	100	70	70	2300	70
22	1200	70	80	80	0700	65
	2400	70	70	80	1500	63
23	1200	80	80	75	2300	67
	1200	80	80	75	0700	60
					1500	60

INCLOSURE 5 (Sheet 2)





INCLOSURE 5
TO
NINTH ARMY ENGINEER OPERATIONS
IN RHINE RIVER CROSSING
OBSERVATIONS OF
RHINE RIVER LEVEL
SHEET NO. 3



INCLOSURE 6

INCLOSURE





INCLOSURE 7

INCLOSURE 7
TO
NINTH ARMY ENGINEER OPERATIONS
IN RHINE RIVER CROSSING

PILE BRIDGE
RHINE RIVER
1146 ENGR. C. GP

SUBMITTED BY - *ABP* APPROVED BY -
DATE - 30 MAR 45 SCALE - VARIES
DRAWN BY - S/Sgt. Marshall
CHECKED BY - *DDJ*

HEADQUARTERS NINTH UNITED STATES ARMY

Office of the Engineer

APO 339

MUNCHEN-GLADBACH (F100904)

191200A Mar 45

ENGINEER OPERATIONS)

ORDER NUMBER 207)

Maps: GSGS 4416, Sheets Q1 and R1; 4336, Sheets 4 and 9, GERMANY — 1: 100,000

GSGS 4480, WESEL, — 1: 5,000

1. a. The enemy anticipates an attack across the RHINE, north and south of the RUHR. He estimates that A/B Trs will be employed.

Evidence of underwater obstacles or other defensive measures of special nature is lacking.

Indications point to the concentration of Arty fire against the most feasible crossing sites, and to the employment of the limited AF in desperate Atks on Brs.

The enemy may employ "human torpedoes" and floating mines against Brs.

The enemy may resort to chemical warfare in a last desperate effort to stave off immediate defeat.

- b. (1) TWENTY-ONE ARMY GROUP will cross the RHINE RIVER north of the RUHR industrial area, and secure a firm bridgehead in order to develop Opns to isolate the RUHR and to penetrate deeper into GERMANY.

- (2) SECOND BRITISH ARMY, with US XVIII A/B Corps under its operational command, will capture WESEL without delay in order to permit NINTH US Army to conduct bridging Opns thereat.
- (3) NINTH US ARMY will assault across the RHINE RIVER in the vicinity of RHEINBERG and secure that portion of the initial Army Group bridgehead within its zone.

2. Ninth Army Engineers will support the Atk by:

- a. Prompt assumption of responsibility for Brs, booms, and ferries installed by XVI Corps in the assault crossing.
- b. Establishment of additional crossing means, to accomodate up to C1 70 loads.
- c. Routine Opns.

3. a. 1142 ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP:

(1) Troops:

- (a) 172 C Bn
- (b) 184 C Bn
- (c) 278 C Bn
- (d) 630 L Equip CO
- (e) 1451 Dp Trk Co
- (f) 1503 W Sup Co
- (g) Det, 2d QM Bn (Mbl)

(2) Mission:

(a) Upon Army order:

1. Assume responsibility for maintenance and operation of Brs, booms, and ferries previously installed by XVI Corps.
2. Construct and maintain one (1) C1 40 Floating Bailey Br in the vicinity of A227304. (Bank seat elevation 22.0 meters NN)

INCLOSURE No. 8

3. Construct and maintain, immediately upstream from the above Br, such booms in addition to those installed by Corps, as are required to insure a Min of:
 - a. One (1) anti-barge boom.
 - b. One (1) anti-debris boom.
 - c. One (1) anti-submarine boom (Grommet Net).
 - d. One (1) anti-mine boom (Admiralty Net).
 - (b) Recover and repair all stream crossing equipage between East-West coordinate line A37 and southern limit of XVI Corps assault crossing area. Transport same to Army Br Park at LINTFORT (A1822).
 - (c) Maintain Ln with 1148 and 1153 Engr C Gp Hqs (XVI Corps).
- b. 1143 ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP:
- (1) Troops:
 - (a) 336 C Bn
 - (b) 277 C Bn
 - (c) 244 C Bn
 - (d) 2733 L Equip Co
 - (e) 1370 Dp Trk Co
 - (f) Det, 2d QM Bn (Mbl)
 - (2) Mission:
 - (a) Upon Army order, construct and maintain:
 1. One (1) Cl 40, floating Bailey Br, in the vicinity of A207345 (Bank seat elevation 21.3 meters NN).
 2. Immediately upstream from the above Br, such booms in addition to those installed by Corps, as are required to insure a Min of:
 - a. One (1) anti-debris booms.
 - b. Two (2) anti-mine booms.

- (b) Be prepared to install, downstream, one (1) anti-submarine boom (Admiralty netting) upon Army O. Netting will be held in Army reserve until such time as the necessity for the boom may be determined.
 - (c) Maintain Ln with 1153 Engr C Gp Hq (XVI Corps).
- c. 1117 ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP:
- (1) Troops:
 - (a) 167 C Bn
 - (b) 248 C Bn
 - (c) 1253 C Bn
 - (d) 1698 C Bn
 - (e) 551 Hv Pon Bn
 - (f) 1000 Tdwy Br Co
 - (g) 536 L Pon Co
 - (h) 574 L Equip Co
 - (i) 2705 Dp Trk Co
 - (2) Mission:
 - (a) On Army order:
 1. Construct and operate one (1) Cl 70 ferry in the vicinity of A186406.
 2. Construct and maintain the following Brs:
 - a. One (1) Cl 40, float reinforced heavy ponton in the vicinity of A211401.
 - b. One (1) Cl 40, treadway, in the vicinity of, A213397.
 - c. One (1) Cl 40, floating Bailey, in the vicinity of A212399. (Bank seat elevation 20.5 meters NN).

INCLOSURE No. 8

3. Construct and maintain, upstream from the above
Brs, a Min of:

a. One (1) anti-debris and two (2) anti-mine
booms in the RHINE RIVER.

b. Blockade or suitable booms in the LIPPE-
SEITEN CANAL and LIPPE RIVER to provide
anti-submarine and anti-mine protection.

(b) Assist the US XVIII A/B Corps and the British 8
Corps in clearing traffic routes through WESEL, as
shown in Annex I.

(c) Be prepared to transport six (6) LCM's and six (6)
LCVP's from Br park near LINTFORT (A1822) to
the vicinity of WESEL, and to launch the craft.
(Trans for above craft has been provided by Army).

(d) Recover and repair all stream crossing equipage betw-
een East-West coordinate line A37 and downstream
limits of Army operations. Transport same to Army
Br park at LINTFORT (A1822).

d. II46 ENGINEER COMBAT GROUP:

(1) Troops:

(a) 250 C Bn

(b) 252 C Bn

(c) 1256 C Bn

(d) CB Maint Unit No 629

(e) Det, Hq Co, 1053 PC & R Gp

(f) Det, Hq Co, 1058 PC & R Gp

(g) Det, 2d QM Bn (Mbl)

(2) Mission:

(a) Construct and maintain two way Cl 70 pile Brs at the
following locations:

I. A216392 (Min elevation at bottom of stringers
will be 24.25 meters NN; on navigation span 25.08
meters NN).

2. A222394 (Min elevation at bottom of stringers
will be 24.25 meters NN).

x. (1) Installation of crossing will include constant Maint of
approach roads.

(2) Bailey bank seat elevation is defined as the elevation
above Normal Null (NN), at which rests the bottom of
the base plate supporting the inshore end of the
landing bay.

(3) All Br and boom Cons will be in accordance with plans
approved by this Hq.

(4) All practicable preliminary work, to include road
repair, pre-fabrication of booms and pre-loading of
materials, will be accomplished prior to start of Br Cons.

(5) Maximum safety precautions, to include the use of life
belts, life boats, guards, and patrol boats, will be
employed.

(6) Use all available means to inform unit responsible for
adjacent downstream Brs of floating mines, debris,
submarines and derelict craft.

(7) A sign "PRIORITY-BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION" will
be plainly displayed on vehicles while in use on above Cons.

(8) Gps will place distinctive markings on routes at critical
points to guide drivers enroute between Br parks and
Br sites.

(9) Use of lights at Br sites and Br parks is authori-
zed. Their use will be coordinated with AAA Intel-
ligence Service to permit extinction of lights upon
approach of enemy aircraft.

(10) AAA protection, artificial moonlight, and Arty to
destroy waterborne objects or craft approaching the
Brs will be provided by XVI Corps and by Army.

(11) Areas of Engr work responsibility, Eff 20 Mar 45,
be as shown in Annex 2. Priority will be given to
will missions in 3a to d, Incl.

INCLOSURE No. 8

4. a. See Adm O 8, Hq Ninth US Army, 17 Mar 45.
- b. 969 Engr Maint Co (-1 Maint Plat) LINTFORT (A1822).
1 Maint Plat, 969, Engr Maint Co: MUNCHHEIDE (F159974).
- c. Bridge Parks:
 1117 C Gp : A118218
 1142 C Gp : A100232
 1143 C Gp : GELDERN (A022250)
 1146 C Gp : GELDERN (A021257)
 Ninth Army : LINTFORT (A1822) (Supporting XVI Corps)
- d. Engr Depots:
 No 4: MAASTRICHT (K5652)
 Closes 220800A Mar 45
 No 14: MUNCHHEIDE (F159974)
 C1 IV and Spare Parts
 C1 II: Opens 220800A Mar 45
5. a. Signal Communications:
 (1) Radio and telephone Com will be maintained between Gp Br parks and Br sites, also laterally between sites.
 (2) Radio silence will be maintained until H-Hour; unlimited use of radios permitted thereafter.
- b. Command Posts:
 Engr Hq, Ninth US Army MUNCHEN-GLADBACH (F100904)
 1117 C Gp GELDERN (A019231)
 1124 C Gp BAKEL (E615243)
 1132 C Gp WELL (E866297)
 1142 C Gp RHEINBERG (A2128)

1143 C Gp
 1146 C Gp
 1147 C Gp

BORTH (A183335)
 GELDERN (A019231)
 BAL (K981723)

By command Of Lieutenant General SIMPSON:

/s/ Wayne E. Downing
 /t/ WAYNE E. DOWNING,
 Lt. Col., Corps of Engineer,
 Assistant Engineer, S-3

2 Incls:

* Incl 1 — Annex I — Routes to be
 cleared in WESEL

** 2 — Annex II — Areas of Engr
 Work Responsibility

DISTRIBUTION:

1 Ea 1117, 1142, 1143, 1146 C Gps
 1 Ea Engr, XIII, XVI, XIX, XVIII A/B Corps
 1 Ea G-3, G-4, AG Tr Status
 1 Ea AAA, Arty
 1 Engr, 12 AGp
 1 Engr Hq, 21 AGp, B.L.A.
 1 969 Maint Co
 1 Hq, Second British Army
 3 File

* Has been withdrawn and made a part of inclosure 11
 ** Has been withdrawn and made a part of inclosure 9

INCLOSURE No. 8

* * * * *

EXTRACT COPY
HEADQUARTERS NINTH UNITED STATES ARMY
Office of the Engineer
APO 339

MUNCHEN-GLADBACH
201430A Mar 45

ENGINEER OPERATIONS)
ORDER NUMBER 209)

* * * * *

6. 969 MaintCo: Par 4b, Opns O 207, 191200A Mar, is rescinded, and the following substituted therefor:

969 Maint Co (Reinforced Contact Plat): MUNCHEIDE (F159974).
Reinforced Contact Plat, 969 Maint Co: LINTFORT (A1822).

EXTRACT COPY
HEADQUARTERS NINTH UNITED STATES ARMY
Office of the Engineer
APO 339

MUNCHEN-GLADBACH
221200A Mar 45

ENGINEER OPERATIONS)
ORDER NUMBER 211)

1. Opns O 207, this Hq, 19 Mar 45, is amended as follows:
- Par 3 a (2) (a) 1 — Add the following: "*and by 1143 C Gp*".
 - Par 3 c (2) (a): Add sub-paragraph 4 as follows: "4. Provide protection, downstream, against submarines and surface craft by addition of necessary booms to wreckage of RR Br in the vicinity of A210404".
 - Par 3 d (2) (a): Change "two way Cl 70" to read "*one way Cl 70, two way Cl 40*".

d. Par 3 x: Add sub-paragraph (10a) as follows: "*(10a) Provide close-in protection of Brs to include such measures as river patrols and sentinels, small arms and bazooka fire and direct illumination on the water immediately adjacent to the Brs, as is necessary*".

By command of Lieutenant General SIMPSON:

/s/ Wayne E. Downing
/t/ WAYNE E. DOWNING
Lt. Col., Corps of Engineers
Assistant Engineer, S-3

DISTRIBUTION:

- 1 Ea 1117, 1142, 1143, 1146 C Gps
- 1 Ea Engr, XIII, XVI, XIX, XVIII A/B Corps
- 1 Ea G-3, G-4, AG Tr Status
- 1 Engr 12 A/Gp
- 1 Engr Hq, 21 A/Gp
- 1 1961 Engr Avn Dep Co
- 1 Hq, Second British Army
- 1 File

EXTRACT COPY
HEADQUARTERS NINTH UNITED STATES ARMY
Office of the Engineer
APO 339

MUNCHEN-GLADBACH
271500A Mar 45


ENGINEER OPERATIONS)
ORDER NUMBER 217)

- * * * * *
4. 1143 C Gp:
- Par 3b (2) (a) 2b, Opns O 207, 19 Mar 45 is rescinded, and the following substituted therefor:

"b. One (1) anti-mine boom. Be prepared to construct, on Army order, or as considered necessary for security of the Br, one (1) additional anti-mine boom."

INCLOSURE No. 8

INCLOSUR



ALLOCATION OF RIVER CROSSING EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES

CLASS IV ONLY

ITEM	UNIT	XVI CORPS	III7th GROUP	II42d GROUP	II43d GROUP	II46th GROUP	ARMY RESERVE
BRIDGING EQUIPMENT:							
25-ton ponton	ft	210	1050	-	-	-	840
M1 treadway	ft	2160	-	-	-	-	-
M2 treadway	ft	4320	864	-	-	-	1728
*Class 40 floating bailey	ft	-	1700	1700	1700	-	3000
FERRY EQUIPMENT:							
*Class 40 bailey raft	ea	24	-	-	-	-	-
SEAMULE	ea	12	3	6	6	6	7
NL pontoon barge 4 x 12	ea	-	1 1/2	-	-	6	3
ASSAULT EQUIPMENT:							
22 HP outboard motor	ea	270	-	-	12	2	215
55 HP outboard motor	ea	286	-	-	-	-	30
M2 assault boat	ea	200	-	-	14	-	102
Storm boat	ea	240	-	-	-	2	72
Power utility boat	ea	10	2	4	4	-	1
LCVP	ea	18	2	-	-	-	4
LCM	ea	18	2	-	-	-	4
BOOM EQUIPMENT:							
55 gal. steel drum	ea	140	400	163	-	-	-
Log	ea	155	400	**	330	-	-
1" steel wire rope	ft	14700	8400	6400	-	-	28000
1" wire rope clip	ea	7000	800	304	-	-	1186
Admiralty netting	yd	800	800	800	-	-	510
Grommet netting	yd	-	-	425	-	-	600
1 1/4" steel wire rope	ft	-	-	4600	6000	-	5541
1 1/4" wire rope clip	ea	-	-	400	500	-	-


ITEM	UNIT	XVI CORPS	III7th GROUP	II42d GROUP	GROUP II43d	II46th GROUP	ARMY RESERVE
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPEMENT:							
1/2 YD crawler crane	ea	1	3	2	2	2	-
3/4 YD crawler crane	ea	7	1	1	2	4	-
1 1/2 YD crawler crane	ea	4	-	-	-	2	-
3/8 YD motorized crane	ea	-	1	-	1	-	-
1/2 YD motorized crane	ea	2	-	2	2	-	-
3/4 YD motorized crane	ea	4	-	1	-	-	-
1/2" steel wire rope	ft	22800	84000	2250	20000	6000	84000
5/8" wire rope	ft	31400	-	2200	10000	1000	17100
3/4" wire rope	ft	15000	-	1125	7000	-	10000
5/8" wire rope clip	ea	4500	800	180	500	500	661
3/4" wire rope clip	ea	7000	800	161	250	-	-
1/2" manila rope	ft	14600	6000	4800	2400	-	20200
3/4" manila rope	ft	27600	7200	-	3000	-	28200
1" manila rope	ft	175000	30000	36000	36400	1000	317000
Anchor (150 d. — 200 d.)	ea	338	350	38	48	-	174
Flashing light	ea	150	-	-	-	-	-
Chespaling	roll	560	991	-	-	-	-
Cyclone fencing	roll	-	-	-	300	-	-
Sommerfeld track	roll	611	120	200	-	-	637
Coir mat	roll	370	-	-	-	-	-
Concertina wire	roll	1000	150	-	-	-	15000
Sand bag	ea	200000	3000	-	-	-	100000
Life belt	ea	15000	450	750	450	200	8504
R4 Tractor w/8-T trailer	ea	4	-	-	4	-	53
Pole type trailer	ea	10	-	-	-	-	4
Pierced steel plank	ton	50	123	-	-	-	010
24" searchlight	ea	-	3	4	2	-	-
3 kw generator	ea	-	-	4	-	-	30
5 kw generator	ea	-	-	-	2	4	5
10 kw generator	ea	-	-	-	-	1	-
15 kw generator	ea	-	-	-	-	1	-
Carbide light	ea	-	-	20	20	4	-

* Approximately 30 130-foot double units of fixed Bailey bridging were utilized in meeting these allocations.

** Unit furnished own logs.


INCLOSURE No. 10


INCLOSURE II






- ### LEGEND
- PRINCIPAL ROADS
 - SECONDARY ROADS
 - (E) BB FLOATING BAILEY BRIDGE PARK
 - (E) T TREADWAY BRIDGE PARK
 - (E) F TIMBER PILE BRIDGE PARK
 - (E) HP 25 TON PONTON BRIDGE PARK
 - BB FLOATING BAILEY BRIDGE SITE
 - T TREADWAY BRIDGE SITE
 - F TIMBER PILE BRIDGE SITE
 - HP 25 TON PONTON BRIDGE SITE

SCALE 1:50,000









NOTE:
ALL ANCHOR CABLES
ARE 1" STEEL ROPE.
NOT TO SCALE

INCLOSURE 13
TO
NINTH ARMY ENGINEER OPERATIONS
IN RHINE RIVER CROSSING
BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 2



LEGEND

▣ 600 LB PANEL ANCHOR

↓ 200 LB DANFORTH ANCHOR

NOT TO SCALE

INCLOSURE 14
TO
NINTH ARMY ENGINEER OPERATIONS
IN RHINE RIVER CROSSING
BAILEY BRIDGE NO. 3

W E S E L
DET BONTON

W E S E L
DET BONTON

MILCHPLATZ

NOTE: TRAFFIC INDICATED
IN VEHICLES PER DAY.

MARCH

APRIL

26 27 28 29 30 31 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

CLOSED

CLOSED

COUNT DISCONTINUED

CLOSED

CLOSED

CLOSED

CLOSED

CLOSED

CLOSED

MILCHPLATZ
M2 TREADWAY
BRIDGE

MEHRUM
M1 TREADWAY
BRIDGE

MEHRUM
BAILEY
BRIDGE

WALLACH
25T PONTON
BRIDGE

WALLACH
M2 TREADWAY
BRIDGE

WALLACH
BAILEY
BRIDGE

W E S E L
M2 TREADWAY
BRIDGE

W E S E L
BAILEY
BRIDGE

W E S E L
25T PONTON
BRIDGE


NINTH ARMY ENGINEER OPERATIONS
IN RHINE RIVER CROSSING
VEHICULAR TRAFFIC COUNT OVER
RHINE BRIDGES
INCLOSURE IS

A

COMBINED ARMS RESEARCH LIBRARY
FORT LEAVENWORTH, KS



3 1695 00538 4763



JUN 25 1984



IMP. KAPP, VANVES (SEINE)

09911-84